



\$~36

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **CRL.M.C. 5275/2025**

RAJEEV TYAGI

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr. Vikram Aggarwal and
Mr. Pramod Tyagi, Advs. along with the petitioner
in person
versus

THE STATE OF NCT OF DELHI AND ANRRespondents

Through: Mr. Naresh Kumar Chahar, APP for
State with SI Bhoop Singh, PS Binda Pur
Respondent no. 2 in person

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AJAY DIGPAUL

ORDER

09.10.2025

%

1. The instant petition under Section 528 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 (hereinafter "BNSS") [earlier Section 482 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 (hereinafter "CrPC")] has been filed on behalf of the petitioner praying for the quashing of FIR bearing no. 802/2017, registered at Police Station – Binda Pur, for offences punishable under Sections 323/354B/506/509 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860 (hereinafter "IPC").

2. The brief facts concerning the present dispute are that the marriage between petitioner and respondent no. 2 was solemnized on 28.06.2012, according to Hindu rites and ceremonies. It is alleged that on 01.11.2017, respondent no. 2 was assaulted by the petitioner and was beaten, her clothes



were torn and threatened with dire consequences. Pursuant to which respondent no. 2 got FIR no. 802/2017 registered.

3. However, at this stage, with the intervention of family members and relatives, both the parties have entered a settlement on 02.09.2023 before the Mediation Centre Saket Courts, New Delhi. *Qua* this settlement, respondent no. 2 has agreed to withdraw the case arising out of FIR No. 802/2017 registered at Police Station – Binda Pur.

4. It is prayed by the learned counsel appearing on behalf of the parties that the instant FIR may be quashed on the basis of the compromise.

5. Learned APP for the State, who appears on advance notice, accepts notice and submits that there is no opposition to the prayer made by the petitioner seeking quashing of the FIR in question in view of the settlement arrived at between the parties.

6. Heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the record.

7. The petitioner is present before this Court and has been identified by his counsel and Investigating Officer, Police Station – Binda Pur. Respondent no. 2 is also present in the Court and has been identified by her counsel and the Investigating Officer.

8. On a query made by this Court, respondent no. 2 has categorically stated that she has entered into compromise on her own free will and without any pressure. It is also stated by her that the entire dispute has been amicably settled between the parties.

9. Keeping in view the fact that the matter stands settled between the petitioner and respondent no. 2 amicably without any pressure, and that the injuries sustained were simple in nature, no fruitful purpose would be served by keeping the matter pending.



10. In view of the fact that the parties are neighbours and they have arrived at a settlement, no useful purpose will be served in continuing the proceedings, rather the same would create further acrimony between them.

11. It is, thus, in the interest of justice to quash the afore-mentioned FIR and the proceedings emanating therefrom.

12. Hence, in light of the judgment of the Hon'ble Supreme Court passed in *Gian Singh vs. State of Punjab* (2012) 10 SCC 303, FIR bearing no. 802/2017, registered at Police Station – Binda Pur, for offences punishable under Sections 323/354B/506/509 of the IPC, and consequent proceedings emanating therefrom, are quashed *qua* the present petitioner.

13. The petition along with pending application(s), if any, stands disposed of.

AJAY DIGPAUL, J

OCTOBER 9, 2025
gs/dd