



\$~68

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**
+ CRL.M.C. 5273/2025 and CRL.M.A. 22768/2025 & CRL.M.A.
22780/2025

ROHIT BHANDARI & ORS.

.....Petitioners

Through: Mr. Rohan Gupta, Mr. Prakash Jha
and Mr. Anurag D., Advocates with
petitioners in person.

versus

STATE GOVT. OF NCT OF DELHI AND ANR.Respondents

Through: Mr. Digam Singh Dagar, APP for the
State.
SI Chandraveer, PS – Amar Colony.
SI Mahesh Rawat, PS – Safdarjung
Enclave.
Mr. Kartavya Batra, Ms. Anshika
Batra and Mr. Bramhanand Jha,
Advocates for respondent no. 2 with
respondent no. 2 in person.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN MONGA

ORDER

%

05.08.2025

1. Petitioners herein seek quashing of the FIR No. 199/2021 dated 20.07.2021 and along with the chargesheet lodged under Sections 498A, 406, 377, 34 IPC at P.S. Safdarjung based on the compromise between the parties as well as quashing of proceedings arising there from.
2. The dispute between the parties arose from the matrimonial discord between the Petitioner no.1 (husband) and Respondent no.2 (wife) stated to be caused by temperamental differences between them. The couple got married on 03.12.2010 according to Hindu rites, Out of the wedlock, two



sons namely Yuvaan and Ahaan are born. However, due to matrimonial discord, Respondent No. 2 lodged the aforementioned FIR.

3. Learned Counsel for the petitioners submits that the parties out of their own volition and without any coercion or undue influence, have amicably settled their dispute *vide* Settlement Agreement dated 20.12.2024 executed before the Mediation Centre, Saket Court, Delhi. Pursuant thereto, the Family Court, Saket, *vide* order dated 26.03.2025 has granted decree of divorce by mutual consent under Section 13B of the Hindu Marriage Act, 1955.

4. Learned counsel further submits that all terms of settlement have been duly complied with, stridhan articles have been returned, and Respondent No. 2 has received the agreed permanent alimony. Respondent No. 2 has also filed an affidavit and No Objection Certificate (NOC) expressing her consent to quashing of the FIR.

5. Learned APP for the State and Respondent No. 2 submit that since the parties have amicably resolved their disputes they do not oppose the petition.

6. Petitioner No. 1 is the ex-husband of the Respondent No. 2, Petitioner No. 2 and 3 are the parents of the Petitioner No.1, while Petitioner No. 4 and 5 are his sisters.

7. Complainant is present in person before the Court. On a Court query, she candidly submits that she is fully conscious of her rights. In view of the settlement arrived between the parties, pursuant to which they have already obtained a divorce by way of mutual consent from the competent Family Court, she does not wish to press any charges against her ex-husband, as



well as, the other petitioners who are stated to be family members of her ex-husband.

8. Furthermore, she would submit that she has entered into the settlement of her own volition without any duress or coercion and as part of settlement, all the terms which were to be complied with by petitioner no. 1 (husband) has been taken care of through her full and final settlement.

9. In view of the aforesaid, further continuation of the proceedings will be abuse of process of law and even otherwise, the same would be nothing but a hurdle in the bonhomie and the cordiality in the spirit of which the parties have arrived at the settlement.

10. On the contrary, continuation of the same would rather impede into the peaceful living in future and also unnecessary resulting hostilities which have otherwise been now condoned voluntarily in course of the mediation proceedings by way of mutual settlement.

11. Accordingly, invoking inherent powers vested with this Court under Section 528 of the BNSS it is deemed expedient to quash the FIR in question arising out of matrimonial dispute between the parties. Reference in this context may also be had to judgment rendered in the case of ***Gian Singh Vs. State of Punjab & Anr. [(2012) 10 SCC 303]***.

12. Accordingly, the FIR No. 199/2021 dated 20.07.2021 and along with the charge-sheet lodged under Sections 498A, 406, 377, 34 IPC at P.S. Safdarjung and the subsequent proceedings initiated pursuant thereto are hereby quashed.

ARUN MONGA, J

AUGUST 5, 2025/kd