



\$~35

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **W.P.(C)-IPD 20/2022&CM 251/2025**

**SMT SHAMMI KHANNA SOLE PROPRIETOR OF M/S KHANNA
POLYMERS**Petitioner

Through: Mr. Amit Jain, Advocate (Through
VC)

versus

**CONTROLLER GENERAL OF PATENTS DESIGNS AND TRADE
MARKS & ORS.**Respondents

Through: Ms. Nidhi Raman, CGSC with Mr.
Om Ram and Mr. Arnav Mittal,
Advocate for R-1
Mr. Vinod Kumari Tiwari, GP for
UOI
Mr. Arnav Goyal, Advocate for R-4

CORAM:

HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE MANMEET PRITAM SINGH ARORA

ORDER

% **10.12.2025**

**W.P.(C)-IPD 20/2022 and CM 251/2025 (for withdrawal of the
captioned petition)**

1. This is an application filed by the Petitioner under Order XXIII Rule 1, read with Section 151 of the Code of Civil Procedure, 1908, seeking liberty to withdraw the present writ petition.
2. It is stated that the reasons for the withdrawal of the petition are set out in paragraph '5' of the application.
3. Learned Counsel appearing on behalf of Respondent Nos. 1 and 2 states that he has no objection. Respondent No.3 is a statutory office that has



been abolished.

4. Learned counsel appearing for Respondent No. 4 states that the rectification petition, in respect of which the relief at paragraph 12(a) of the prayer clause was sought, was never listed before the IPAB. He further submits that, in fact, the relief sought in the writ petition has become infructuous.

5. In view of the aforesaid facts, the writ petition is permitted to be withdrawn for the reason stated in the application, as well as taking on record the fact that the relief sought in the petition itself has become infructuous.

6. This application is allowed and the writ petition is disposed of.

7. Pending applications, if any, stand disposed of.

8. Future dates, if any, stand cancelled.

MANMEET PRITAM SINGH ARORA, J

DECEMBER 10, 2025/rhc/aa