



\$~O-11

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **CS(OS) 678/2009**

M/S KASHISH ASSOCIATES

.....Plaintiff

Through: Ms. Dakshita Sharma, Advocates

versus

M/S VASUDEV JEWELLERS(P) LTD.

.....Defendant

Through: Mr. Divyakant Lahoti and Mr. Kartik Lahoti, Advocates.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE PURUSHAINDR KUMAR KAURAV

ORDER

% **30.10.2025**

1. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the parties jointly submit that the matter stood amicably settled between the parties by way of a settlement dated 08.09.2025.
2. The copy of the said settlement agreement has been placed in the digital record of the Court.
3. Order XXIII, Rule 3 authorises the Court to pass a decree on a compromise wherein the parties to the dispute have made an arrangement to settle the dispute. It has been comprehensively stated in the provision that the settlement arrived at should meet the essentials laid down therein, namely, (i) the compromise is lawful (ii) it is reduced in writing (iii) it is duly signed by the parties arriving at the compromise.
4. The settlement has been agreed upon without fear or coercion and the



parties appear to have entered into the settlement agreement on their own volition.

5. The Court is satisfied that the settlement has been arrived at between the parties following due procedure and meeting the essentials specified Order XXIII, Rule 3. Therefore, the parties shall be bound by the aforesaid settlement agreement.

6. In view of the aforesaid, the civil suit stands decreed in terms of the settlement agreement.

7. The Registry is directed to draw-up a decree sheet and the settlement agreement shall also form part of the decree.

8. The civil suit along with the pending application(s), if any, stands disposed of.

9. Let the entire Court fee be refunded to the plaintiff by the Registry.

PURUSHAINDR KUMAR KAURAV, J

OCTOBER 30, 2025

Nc/mj