



\$~80

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **CRL.M.C. 5219/2025**

RAHUL MURADIA & ORS.

.....Petitioners

Through: Petitioners with their counsel Mr.
Sataroop Dass and Mr. Amit Pruthi,
Adv.

versus

THE STATE (NCT OF DELHI) & ANR.

.....Respondents

Through: Mr. Naresh Kumar Chahar, APP for
the State with Ms. Puja Mann, Adv.
R-2 with his counsel Mr. Satish
Kumar Tripathi, Adv.

CORAM:

HON'BLE DR. JUSTICE SWARANA KANTA SHARMA

ORDER

%

04.08.2025

CRL.M.A. 22556/2025 (exemption)

1. Allowed, subject to all just exceptions.
2. Application stands disposed of.

CRL.M.C. 5219/2025

3. By way of present petition, the petitioners seek quashing of FIR bearing no. 909/2024, registered at Police Station Mukherjee Nagar, Delhi for the offences punishable under Sections 420/406/34 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860 (hereafter 'IPC').
4. The petitioners and respondent no. 2 (through video conferencing) are present before this Court. They have been identified by their counsels and



concerned Investigating Officer (IO) from Police Station Mukherjee Nagar, Delhi.

5. Issue notice. The learned APP accepts notice on behalf of the State and states that it is not a multi victim case and the parties have amicably settled the present matter.

6. Briefly stated, facts of the present case are that an application under Section 156(3) of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 (hereafter “Cr.P.C.”) filed by respondent no. 2 was allowed by the learned ACMM, North District, Rohini Court, Delhi *vide* order dated 28.09.2024. In compliance of said order dated 28.09.2024, the present FIR was registered against the petitioners.

7. However, during the pendency of the present proceedings, the parties had arrived between the parties by way of a Settlement Deed dated 27.05.2025.

8. On a query made by this Court, respondent no.2 who has been identified by the concerned IO, has categorically stated that he has entered into compromise out of his own free will and without any pressure, coercion or threat. It is also stated by respondent no. 2 that the entire dispute has been amicably settled between the parties. Respondent no. 2 further states that he has no objection if the present FIR is quashed.

9. In view of the above fact that the parties have amicably resolved their differences of their own free will, without any coercion and no useful purpose will be served by continuing the proceedings, rather the same would create further acrimony between them. It would thus be in interest of justice to quash the abovementioned FIR and the proceedings pursuant thereto. There is no legal impediment in quashing the FIR in question.



10. Accordingly, FIR bearing No. 909/2024, registered at Police Station Mukherjee Nagar, Delhi for the offences punishable under Sections 420/406/34 of IPC and all consequential proceedings emanating therefrom are quashed, subject to cost of Rs.10,000/- to be deposited by each of the petitioners, with Advocates Welfare Fund, Rohini Courts, Delhi within seven days from date.
11. In view of the above, the present petition stands disposed of.
12. The order be uploaded on the website forthwith.

DR. SWARANA KANTA SHARMA, J

AUGUST 04, 2025/A