



\$~63

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ CRL.M.C. 5207/2025
SH VINOD KUMAR

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr. Sunil Kumar, Advocate
alongwith Petitioner in person.

versus

THE STATE OF NCT OF DELHI AND ANRRespondents

Through: Mr. Digam Singh Dagar, APP for
State with SI Ram Rattan, PS Dabri.
Mr. Suraj and Mr. Amit Chauhan,
Advocates for respondent no. 2
alongwith Respondent in person.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN MONGA

ORDER

% **04.08.2025**

CRL.M.A. 22496/2025

For the reasons stated in the application, the same is allowed.

Delay of 85 days in refiling the petition is condoned.

The application stands disposed of.

CRL.M.C. 5207/2025

1. Petitioner herein seek quashing of an FIR No.690/2023dated 20.09.2023 for the alleged offence under Section 498A of IPC, registered at P.S. Dabri, along with all consequential proceedings arising therefrom, based on a compromise between the parties.

2. At the relevant time, dispute arose out of matrimonial discord between petitioner (husband) and respondent no.2/complainant (wife). The



parties were married on 07.07.1981 according to Hindu rites and customs. Three children are born from the wedlock.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the parties have now amicably settled the matter on 01.03.2025 before the competent Family Court. Pursuant thereto, the Petitioner and Respondent no.2 have resumed cohabitation in their matrimonial home from 08.02.2025, after reconciling their differences.

4. In the aforesaid backdrop, I have heard learned counsels for the parties, as well as perused case file.

5. The parties are present in Court and I have interacted with them. On a Court query put to respondent no. 2, she candidly submits that she has settled the dispute without any duress and coercion and out of her own free will. Both the Complainant/Respondent no.2 (Wife) and Petitioner (Husband) state that they shall withdraw all pending cases filed by them against each other.

6. In the premise, further proceedings in the present matter would be an abuse of the process of law. The parties have amicably settled their dispute, which does not involve any public interest or interest of the society at large. Continuation of proceedings may rather result in hostility between the parties and defeat the very purpose of settlement, resulting in unnecessarily hostilities between the parties who are otherwise enjoying matrimonial bliss after burying the hatchet.

7. The criminal proceedings thus deserve to be quashed in exercise of the inherent powers of the Court. Reference in this context may also be had



to judgment rendered in the case of ***Gian Singh Vs. State of Punjab & Anr.*** [(2012) 10 SCC 303].

8. Consequently, the instant petition is allowed. The criminal proceedings arising out of FIR No.690/2023 dated 20.09.2023 for the alleged offence under Section 498A of IPC, registered at P.S. Dabri, against the petitioner and further proceedings arising therefrom are hereby quashed.

ARUN MONGA, J

AUGUST 4, 2025/rs