



\$~13 to 20

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ W.P.(C) 11514/2025

MILAN GOWEL

.....Petitioner

versus

SHAHEED RAJPAL DAV
PUBLIC SCHOOL & ORS.

.....Respondents

+ W.P.(C) 11515/2025

UMA BALI

.....Petitioner

versus

SHAHEED RAJPAL DAV
PUBLIC SCHOOL, & ORS.

.....Respondents

+ W.P.(C) 11516/2025

RITA KAILA

.....Petitioner

versus

SHAHEED RAJPAL DAV
PUBLIC SCHOOL, & ORS.

.....Respondents

+ W.P.(C) 11517/2025

ANITA NAYYAR

.....Petitioner

versus

SHAHEED RAJPAL DAV
PUBLIC SCHOOL & ORS.

.....Respondents

+ W.P.(C) 11518/2025



MEENU VIP

.....Petitioner

versus

SHAHEED RAJPAL DAV PUBLIC SCHOOL, THROUGH
MANAGER, SMT. CHITRA NAKRA & ORS.Respondents

+ W.P.(C) 11547/2025

SARITA TYAGI

.....Petitioner

versus

SHAHEED RAJPAL DAV
PUBLIC SCHOOL & ORS.Respondents

+ W.P.(C) 11553/2025

JYOTSNA SOOD

.....Petitioner

versus

SHAHEED RAJPAL DAV PUBLIC SCHOOL, THROUGH
MANAGER, SMT. CHITRA NAKRA, & ORS.Respondents

+ W.P.(C) 11556/2025

MEERA SHARMA

.....Petitioner

versus

SHAHEED RAJPAL DAV
PUBLIC SCHOOL & ORS.Respondents

Appearances:

Mr. Gaurav Dhingra, Mr. Shashank Singh, Advocates for DoE in item Nos. 18 and 20.

Mrs. Avnish Ahlawat, Mr. N.K. Singh, Mr. Mohnish Sehrawat, Advocates for respondent in item No. 13.

Mr. Naushad Ahmed Khan, Ms. Archana Chaudhary, Advocates for DoE in item No. 19.



Mr. Sermon Rawat, Ms. Aastha Vishwakarma, Advocate for petitioners.
Mr. Yeeshu Jain, ASC with Ms. Jyoti Tyagi, Mr. Aveeraj Sharma, Ms. Priya Shukla, Mr. Sumit Kumar, Advocates for R-5 and 6 in item No. 16.
Ms. Gauri Puri, Mr. Kashish Bhardwaj, Advocates for R-1 to 4 in item 13 to 20.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE PRATEEK JALAN

ORDER

% **14.08.2025**

1. The petitioners are retired employees of the respondent No. 1 - Shaheed Rajpal Dav Public School [“the School”]. They have filed these writ petitions seeking payment of arrears of salary, MACP benefits, gratuity, provident fund, and other entitlements consequent upon implementation of the recommendations of the Sixth and Seventh Central Pay Commissions.
2. Ms. Gauri Puri, learned counsel, has entered appearance on behalf of respondent – School and its Managing Committee. She submits that the petitioners’ entitlement to relief sought is not disputed, having regard to the settled legal position. However, the quantification of the dues in some cases requires reconciliation. She further submits that the School presently lacks the resources to liquidate the dues of all the petitioners immediately.
3. In view of the largely undisputed legal position, I am of the view that the exercise of reconciliation of accounts, quantification of dues, and working out appropriate modalities for payment thereof, ought to be carried out by the Directorate of Education [“DoE”], at the first instance.
4. The petitioners have placed on record their detailed computations



of dues. The School is directed to furnish its computation in respect of each petitioner to learned counsel for the petitioners, and submit the same with the concerned Deputy Director, DoE, within four weeks. The Deputy Director is thereafter directed to convene a meeting with the petitioners and the School or their representatives, within two weeks thereafter, to resolve any disputed issues of reconciliation and modalities for liquidation of the dues. This exercise be completed within 12 weeks from today.

5. It is made clear that if the School does not make any submission to the DoE within the period, granted by this Court, the DoE may proceed on the basis of the petitioners' computation.

6. The writ petitions, alongwith pending applications, are disposed of with these directions, without prejudice to the rights and remedies of the parties.

PRATEEK JALAN, J

AUGUST 14, 2025

'Bhupi/sd'/'