



\$~65

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ CRL.M.C. 5228/2025, CRL.M.A. 22604/2025

SANDEEP AND ORS

.....Petitioners

Through: Mr. Akhilesh Dadhich, Advocate,
alongwith petitioners in person.

versus

STATE NCT OF DELHI AND ANR

.....Respondents

Through: Mr. Digam Singh Dagar, APP for
State with SI Ghanshyam, PS Pul
Prahladpur alongwith Respondent in
person.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN MONGA

ORDER

04.08.2025

%

1. Petitioners herein seek quashing of FIR No. 208/2023 dated 21.07.2023 for the alleged offences under Sections 34, 406, 498A of IPC, registered at P.S. Pul Prahlad, along with all consequential proceedings arising therefrom, on the basis of a compromise between the parties.

2. Dispute between the parties arose from the matrimonial discord between Petitioner no. 1 (husband) and complainant/Respondent no.2 (wife). The Petitioner no. 1 and Respondent No. 2 were married on 16.05.2010 according to Hindu rites. Two children are born from the wedlock.

3. Petitioner no.1 is the husband, Petitioner no.2 is the father-in-law, petitioner no.3 is the mother-in-law, petitioner no.4 is the brother-in-law of respondent no.2.



4. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the parties have now amicably resolved their dispute through a MOU/ Settlement Agreement dated 28.07.2025 and are now living together. It is further submitted that an affidavit of no objection to the quashing, deposed by respondent no. 2, has also been placed on record.

5. In the aforesaid backdrop, I have heard learned counsels for the parties, as well as perused case file.

6. The parties are present in Court and I have interacted with them. On a Court query put to respondent no. 2, she candidly submits that she has settled the dispute without any duress and coercion and out of her own free will. She further states that in view of the settlement, she does not wish to pursue the proceedings against the petitioners and have also resumed cohabitation with Petitioner 1.

7. Further proceedings in the present matter would thus be seen as an abuse of the process of law. The parties have amicably settled their dispute, which does not involve any public interest or interest of the society at large. Continuation of proceedings may rather result in hostility between the parties and defeat the very purpose of settlement, resulting in unnecessarily hostilities between the parties who are otherwise enjoying matrimonial bliss after burying the hatchet.

8. In the premise, looking at larger interest of justice, invoking inherent powers under Section 528 BNSS, it is deemed expedient to quash the FIR in question arising out of matrimonial dispute between the private parties.



Reference in this context may also be had to judgment rendered in the case of *Gian Singh Vs. State of Punjab & Anr. [(2012) 10 SCC 303]*.

9. Consequently, the instant petition is allowed. The criminal proceedings arising out of FIR No. 208/2023 dated 21.07.2023 for the alleged offences under Sections 34, 406, 498A of IPC, registered at P.S. Pul Prahlad against the petitioners and further proceedings arising therefrom, are hereby quashed.

10. Pending application(s), if any, are accordingly disposed of.

ARUN MONGA, J

AUGUST 4, 2025

rs