



\$~65

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ CRL.M.C. 5212/2025 & CRL.M.A. 22528/2025

CHARANPREET SINGH & ORS.

.....Petitioners

Through: Mr. Karan Sharma and Mr. Rohit Singh, Advs.

Petitioner no. 1 in person.

Petitioner no. 2 in person (through VC)

versus

THE STATE OF NCT OF DELHI AND ANR

.....Respondents

Through: Mr. Satish Kumar, APP for State with SI Nisha, PS Mohan Garden, IO/SI Ritu Dangi, PS Cyber Shahdara

Ms. Bhumika Gaba, Adv. along with R-2

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AJAY DIGPAUL

ORDER

04.08.2025

%

1. The instant petition under Article 226 of the Constitution read with Section 528 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 (hereinafter “BNSS”) [earlier Section 482 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 (hereinafter “Cr.P.C.”)] has been filed on behalf of the petitioners praying for quashing of FIR bearing No. 254/2022, dated 16.04.2022, registered at Police Station – Mohan Garden, Delhi, for the offences punishable under Sections 498A/406/354(A)/506/34 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860 (hereinafter “IPC”).

2. The brief facts of the case are that the marriage between petitioner no. 1 and respondent no. 2 got solemnized on 04.01.2021 at Delhi, according to



Hindu rites and ceremonies. However, due to some temperamental differences between them, shortly after their marriage, they started living separately. One child is born out of the wedlock (one daughter). Petitioner no. 2 is the father in law of the respondent no. 2 and petitioner no. 3 is the mother in law of petitioner no. 1.

3. Despite several efforts of reconciliation, both the parties could not settle their differences pursuant to which respondent no. 2 filed a criminal complaint against the petitioners which was converted into FIR no. 254/2022.

4. However, at this stage, with the intervention of family members and relatives, both the parties have entered into a settlement on 07.08.2024 before the Mediation Centre, Dwarka Courts, New Delhi. The terms and conditions of the said settlement are mentioned in the settlement deed which is annexed as Annexure P-2 to the petition. In pursuance of the said settlement, the parties have jointly agreed that they shall move for divorce under Section 13B(1) and under Section 13B(2) of the Hindu Marriage Act, 1955 (hereinafter “HMA”) and accordingly, a divorce decree dated 04.01.2025 was passed by the Court concerned.

5. Further, in terms of the said settlement, it has been agreed among the parties that the petitioner no. 1 shall pay a sum of ₹ 22,00,000/- to the respondent no. 2 as full and final settlement against all her and the child's claims including maintenance (past, present and future), permanent alimony, *stridhan*, including the execution amount. An amount of ₹ 7,00,000/- from this settlement is to be paid at the time of quashing of the FIR.

6. At this juncture, petitioner no. 1 has handed over four Demand Drafts bearing Nos. 000193, 557434, 978313 and 671564 for the amount of ₹



2,00,000/-, ₹ 1,50,000/-, ₹ 1,50,000/- and ₹ 2,00,000/- dated 19.06.2025, 18.06.2025, 17.06.2025 and 20.03.2025, respectively, in the name of respondent no. 2 today in the Court. The respondent no. 2 has verified the particulars of the Demand Drafts to her satisfaction and stated them to be correct. The copies of the said Demand Drafts are handed over by the learned counsel appearing on behalf petitioners during the course of the arguments, which are taken on record.

7. It is, thus, prayed that the instant FIR be quashed on the basis of settlement agreement dated 07.08.2024.

8. Learned APP for the State submitted that there is no opposition to the prayer made on behalf of the petitioners seeking quashing of the FIR in question in view of the settlement arrived at between the parties.

9. Heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the record.

10. The petitioners are present before this Court and have been identified by their counsel and the Investigating Officer, PS Mohan Garden, Delhi. Respondent no. 2 is also present in the Court and has been identified by her counsel and the Investigating Officer.

11. On the query made by this Court, respondent no. 2 has categorically stated that she has entered into compromise on her own free will and without any pressure. It is also stated by respondent no. 2 that the entire dispute has been amicably settled between the parties. Parties also undertook that they shall abide by all the terms and conditions of the settlement arrived at between the parties.

12. The instant criminal proceedings in respect of non-compoundable offences are private in nature and do not have a serious impact on the



society especially when there is a settlement/compromise between victim and accused.

13. In such cases, it is settled law that High Court is also required to consider the conduct and antecedents of the accused in order to ascertain that the settlement has been entered into by her own free will and has not been imposed upon her by the petitioners or any person related to them.

14. In the case of ***State of Madhya Pradesh vs. Laxmi Narayan and Ors., (2019) 5 SCC 688***, the Hon'ble Supreme Court held that the powers conferred under Section 482 of the CrPC/Section 528 of the BNSS, can be exercised by the Courts to quash the criminal proceedings featuring non-compoundable offences, when the matter arises out of matrimonial or family disputes. Moreover, it is pertinent to satisfy the Court that the said non-compoundable offences are private in nature and does not have a serious impact on the society.

15. Furthermore, it was observed by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in the case of ***Ramgopal and Ors. Vs. The State of Madhya Pradesh, 2021 INSC 568***, that the extraordinary power enjoined upon the High Courts under Section 482 of the CrPC/Section 528 of the BNSS can be invoked even when such a case falls within the ambit of non-compoundable offences given that the Court must be satisfied that the nature of the offence does not impact the conscious of the society and that the compromise between the parties is voluntary and amicable.

16. In the present case, the complainant/respondent no. 2 is present in Court and has categorically stated that she has entered into compromise and settled the entire disputes amicably with the petitioners by her own free will without any pressure or coercion. There is also no allegation from



respondent no.2 that the conduct and antecedents of petitioners have been bad towards her after the compromise. As per the settlement, the respondent no. 2 has received the entire settled amount. Further, her affidavit, stating no objection to the instant FIR being quashed, is also on record.

17. Therefore, in view of the settlement arrived at between the parties and the law laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court, the present petition is allowed. Accordingly, FIR bearing No. 254/2022, dated 16.04.2022, registered at Police Station – Mohan Garden, Delhi, for the offences punishable under Sections 498A/406/354(A)/506/34 of the IPC and all the consequential proceedings emanating therefrom are quashed.

18. It is made clear that the child born out of the wedlock shall be entitled to assert her rights upon attaining the age of majority in accordance with the law.

19. The petition along-with pending application(s), if any, stands disposed of.

AJAY DIGPAUL, J

AUGUST 4, 2025/ar/dd