



\$~96

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**
+ CM(M) 1421/2025 & CM APPL. 46781/2025 & CM APPL. 46782/2025
MOHD.GULREZ & ORS.Petitioner

Through: Mr. Shekhar, Ms. Tamanna, Adv.
versus

ABID QURESHIRespondent
Through: Mr. Rakesh Shrivastava, Adv.

CORAM:
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MANOJ JAIN

ORDER
11.08.2025

%

1. Mr. Rakesh Shrivastava, learned counsel for the respondent has joined proceedings on advance notice through *video-conferencing*.
2. After hearing arguments for some time, learned counsel for the petitioner does not press the present petition.
3. He, however, submits that it be clarified that the impugned order, whereby the amendment in the suit in question has been allowed, should not prejudice the mind of the learned Controller who is seized with application seeking *leave to defend*.
4. The present petition is accordingly disposed of as not pressed.
5. All the rights and the contentions of the parties are left open and it is also clarified that that the learned Controller would decide the application seeking *leave to defend*, without being influenced by the impugned order dated 05.07.2025.
6. Pending applications stand disposed of in aforesaid terms.

MANOJ JAIN, J

AUGUST 11, 2025/neha