



\$~87 & 88

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**
+ CM(M) 1425/2025 & CM APPL. 46893-46894/2025
RAHUL NATHPetitioner

Through: Mr. Mayank Bansal, Advocate
versus

M/S LINGAYAS SOCIETY AND ORSRespondent
Through: None

+ CM(M) 1426/2025 & CM APPL. 46895-46896/2025
M/S PITBULL NUTRITION INDIA PVT LTDPetitioner
Through: Mr. Mayank Bansal, Advocate
versus

M/S LINGAYAS SOCIETY AND ORS & ANR.Respondent
Through:

CORAM:
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MANOJ JAIN

ORDER
05.08.2025

%

1. After hearing arguments for some time, Mr. Mayank Bansal, learned counsel for petitioners, without prejudice to his rights and contentions, does not press the aforesaid two petitions.
2. He, however, submits that as far as petitioner Mr. Rahul Nath is concerned, he would file an application under Order I Rule 10 CPC seeking deletion of his name from the array of parties. He also submits that plaintiff Society has already filed an application seeking amendment in the plaint and, in case, such amendment application is allowed, the defendants may, at least, be granted liberty to move appropriate application under Order VII Rule 11



CPC, if need so arises.

3. Having gone through the impugned order dated 28.04.2025 and after hearing Mr. Mayank Bansal, learned counsel for petitioners, both the present petitions are disposed of as not pressed.

4. All rights and contentions of the parties are reserved.

5. Needless to say, petitioner Mr. Rahul Nath is always at liberty to move application seeking deletion of his name from the array of parties and as & when any such application is moved, the learned Trial Court would dispose of the same in accordance with law.

6. It is also ordered that in case amendment application, filed by the plaintiff Society is allowed and defendants move application afresh under Order VII Rule 11 CPC, it will be entirely upto the learned Trial Court to consider the maintainability of such application and then to dispose of the same in accordance with law.

7. It is, however, clarified that this Court has not made any observation about the merits of the above two proposed applications and these shall be adjudicated, without being influenced by the present order.

MANOJ JAIN, J

AUGUST 5, 2025/dr/shs