



\$~15

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ CRL.M.C. 5140/2025 & CRL.M.A. 22269/2025

SMT UZMA

.....Petitioner

Through: Adv. for petitioner along with
petitioner (appearance not given)

versus

STATE NCT OF DELHI AND ANR

.....Respondents

Through: Mr. Satish Kumar, APP for State with
Mr. Aditya Vikram Singh, Adv.
SI Ajay Chauhan and ASI Dharamveer, PS Tilak
Marg
Adv. for R-2 along with R-2

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AJAY DIGPAUL

ORDER

%

09.09.2025

1. The instant petition under Section 482 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 (hereinafter "CrPC.") has been filed by the petitioner praying for quashing of FIR No. 119/2023, registered at Police Station Kalandi Kunj on 25.02.2023, for offences punishable under Sections 308/341 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860 (hereinafter "IPC").
2. The brief facts of the case are that on 21.2.2023, respondent no. 2 was present at her residence along with her daughter in law, i.e., the petitioner. At about 4.00 PM, while respondent no. 2 was taking her meal, the petitioner allegedly picked up a quarrel with her and assaulted her, and inflicted beatings upon her.



3. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner has submitted that chargesheet has already been filed against the petitioner. It is submitted that the petitioner and respondent no. 2 have settled their disputes amicably and are now moving forward towards a peaceful and harmonious future. It is further submitted that the respondent no. 2 has been duly compensated for the injuries suffered by her along with her medical expenses by the petitioner.
4. Memorandum of Understanding dated 25.07.2025 is on record and has been annexed as Annexure B. Qua this deed, the respondent no. 2 has agreed to withdraw the case arising out of FIR No. 119/2023, registered at Police Station Kalandi Kunj against the petitioner.
5. It is prayed by the learned counsel appearing on behalf of the parties that the instant FIR may be quashed on the basis of the compromise.
6. Learned APP for the State, who appears on advance notice, accepts notice and submits that there is no opposition to the prayer made by the petitioner seeking quashing of the FIR in question in view of the settlement arrived at between the parties.
7. Heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the record.
8. The petitioner is present before this Court and has been identified by her counsel and Investigating Officer, Police Station Kalandi Kunj. Respondent no. 2 is also present in the Court and has been identified by her counsel and the Investigating Officer.
9. On a query made by this Court, respondent no. 2 has categorically stated that she has entered into compromise on her own free will and without any pressure. It is also stated by her that the entire dispute has been amicably settled between the parties.



10. Keeping in view the fact that the matter stands amicably settled between the petitioner and respondent no. 2 without any pressure, no fruitful purpose would be served by keeping the matter pending.

11. In view of the fact that the parties have arrived at a settlement and further having regard to the fact that the injury suffered by the complainant/respondent no.2 is simple in nature, no useful purpose will be served in continuing the proceedings, rather the same would create further acrimony between them. Further in view of the fact that the parties have arrived at settlement, the chances of ultimate conviction of the accused persons are also bleak.

12. It is, thus, in the interest of justice to quash the afore-mentioned FIR and the proceedings emanating therefrom.

13. Hence, in light of the judgment of the Hon'ble Supreme Court passed in ***Gian Singh vs. State of Punjab* (2012) 10 SCC 303**, FIR No. 119/2023, registered at Police Station Kalandi Kunj, for offences punishable under Sections 308/341 of the IPC, and consequent proceedings emanating therefrom, are quashed.

14. The petition along with pending application(s), if any, stands disposed of.

AJAY DIGPAUL, J

SEPTEMBER 9, 2025/ar/dd