



\$~16

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**
+ **W.P.(CRL) 2374/2025**
SUBHASH CHANDER & ANR.Petitioners
Through: Mr. Ajay Kumar Pipaniya, Ms.Nikita
Garg and Mr. Gopesh Jindal, Advs.
with petitioner in person.

versus

STATE (GOVT OF NCT OF DELHI)Respondent
Through: Mr.Sanjay Lao, St. Counsel (Crl.) with
Insp. Sudhir Kumar and SI Gajender,
PS Chhawla.
Mr. Lalit Yadav and Mr. Pankaj
Gupta, Advs.

CORAM:
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIVEK CHAUDHARY
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MANOJ JAIN

ORDER
09.10.2025

%

1. The present petition has been filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India seeking directions in the nature of *Habeas Corpus* directing respondent to produce Ms.'R', wife of petitioner no.1/mother of petitioner no. 2, before this Court.
2. When the matter was taken up on the late date i.e., 24.09.2025, learned Standing Counsel sought time to contact the missing person and to make the necessary arrangements for her appearance before this Court, through video-conferencing.
3. Ms. 'R', is present through video-conferencing.
4. We have interacted with Ms.'R', who submits that she is about 25 years old and is a post-graduate. Upon being queried, she submits that she does not



want to go back to her husband.

5. Pursuant to such statement, learned counsel for the petitioner, on instructions, seeks permission to withdraw the present petition with liberty to approach appropriate forum for seeking any further relief.

6. Permission and liberty, as prayed for, is granted in accordance with law.

7. Accordingly, the present petition is dismissed as withdrawn.

8. All rights and contentions of parties are left open.

VIVEK CHAUDHARY, J

MANOJ JAIN, J

OCTOBER 9, 2025/rs/nc