



\$~6

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **C.R.P. 223/2025 & CM APPL. 46399-46400/2025**

RENU KUMAR SINGH AND ANRPetitioners

Through: Mr. Deepak Khosla, Advocate.

versus

NANDINI KUMARRespondent

Through: Mr. Sameer Nandwani, Advocate.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE TARA VITASTA GANJU

ORDER

% **21.08.2025**

CM APPL. 46400/2025 [Exemption from filing certified/typed copies]

1. Allowed, subject to the Petitioners filing certified/typed copies of the annexures within a period of three weeks.
2. The Application stands disposed of.

C.R.P. 223/2025 & CM APPL. 46399/2025 [Stay]

3. The present Petition has been filed on behalf of the Petitioners under Section 115 of the Code of Civil Procedure, 1908 [hereinafter referred to as "CPC"] against the order dated 28.05.2025 passed by learned District Judge-04, South District, Saket Courts, New Delhi [hereinafter referred to as "Impugned Order"]. By the Impugned Order, an Application under Order VII Rule 11 of the CPC filed by the Petitioners has been dismissed by the learned Trial Court. The Application that was filed by the Petitioners is under Order VII Rule 11 (b) and (c) of the CPC.



4. After some arguments, learned Counsel for the Petitioners seeks and is granted permission to withdraw the present Petition with liberty to agitate his contentions before the learned Trial Court.
5. The Petition is dismissed as withdrawn with liberty as prayed for. The pending Applications also stands closed.
6. The parties shall act based on the digitally signed copy of the order.

TARA VITASTA GANJU, J

AUGUST 21, 2025/ ha