



\$~4

\* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **BAIL APPLN. 2802/2025**

**ANIKET @ PARVESH**

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr. Vineet Jain, Adv.

versus

**STATE NCT OF DELHI**

.....Respondent

Through: Mr. Manoj Pant, APP for the State  
along with SI Jitender.

**CORAM:**

**HON'BLE DR. JUSTICE SWARANA KANTA SHARMA**

**ORDER**

**16.09.2025**

%

1. By way of the present application, the applicant is seeking grant of regular bail in case out of FIR bearing no.117/2025, registered at Police Station Jahangir Puri, for the offences punishable under Sections 109(1)/3(5) of the Bhartiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023 (hereafter 'BNS') and Section 27 of the Arms Act, 1959.
2. Briefly stated, the facts of the present case are that on 06.02.2025, present FIR came to be registered on the allegation that the applicant Aniket @ Parvesh and his co-accused persons, in furtherance of their common intention, had shot at the complainant.
3. The learned counsel appearing on behalf of the applicant argues that the present applicant did not have any active role to play in the commission of the alleged offence. It is submitted that the co-accused namely Sumit @ Chava had fired at the complainant and the present applicant had been



falsely implicated in the present case. It is accordingly prayed that the present applicant be granted bail.

4. The learned APP for the State, on the other hand, argues that the allegations against the present applicant are serious in nature. Therefore, the applicant should not be granted bail

5. This Court has **heard** arguments addressed on behalf of the learned counsel for the applicant and the learned APP for the State and has perused the material available on record.

6. After hearing arguments and going through the case file, this Court is of the opinion that a perusal of the FIR in this case reveals that the allegations against the present applicant are not that he had either caught hold of the complainant or had fired at him. The charge-sheet has already been filed and the charges are yet to be framed. This Court also notes that the applicant is in judicial custody since 05.02.2025.

7. Considering the overall facts and circumstances of the case, this Court is inclined to grant regular bail to the applicant herein, on his furnishing personal bond in the sum of Rs.10,000/- with one surety of the like amount, subject to the satisfaction of the learned Trial Court/Successor Court/Link Court/Duty Judge concerned on the following terms and conditions:

- i) The applicant shall not leave the country without prior permission of the concerned Court and if the applicant has a passport, he shall surrender the same to the concerned trial court.
- ii) In case of change of residential address/contact details, the applicant shall promptly inform the same to the concerned Court and IO/SHO concerned.
- iii) The applicant shall appear regularly before the learned Trial



Court, unless exempted.

iv) The applicant shall appear in Court on every date of hearing unless exempted;

v) The applicant shall not communicate with, or come into contact with the complainant or any of the prosecution witnesses, or tamper with the evidence of the case.

8. Accordingly, the present bail application stands allowed and is disposed of.

9. It is, however, clarified that nothing expressed hereinabove shall tantamount to an expression of opinion on merits of the case.

10. A copy of this order be communicated to the concerned jail authorities for necessary compliance.

11. The order be uploaded on the website forthwith.

**DR. SWARANA KANTA SHARMA, J**

**SEPTEMBER 16, 2025/A**