



\$~92

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **W.P.(C) 10920/2025**

B ED COLLEGE VIJAYNAGAR & ANR.Petitioner

Through: Mr. Amitesh Kumar, Ms. Priti Kumari and Mr. Mrinal Kishor, Advs.

versus

**NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION
& ANR.Respondents**

Through: Ms.Renu Gupta and Ms. Carina Arora, Advs.

**CORAM:
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS MAHAJAN**

**ORDER
28.07.2025**

%

1. The present petition has been filed seeking following relief:

“a) issue a writ of certiorari or any other suitable writ or order quashing/setting aside the withdrawal order dated 18.03.2025 assed by WRC and order dated 09.07.2025 passed by appellate authority of NCTE; and/or

b) issue a writ of mandamus or any other suitable writ or order to the Respondents to issue the restoration order with consequential direction to respondents to display the name of petitioner institution in the list/ category of recognised institutions for conducting B.Ed. Course with intake of 50 seats (one basic unit) on their website and to inform the Affiliating University as well as Department of Higher Education, Government of Gujarat enabling participation in the counselling & admission process for the Academic Year 2025-26 and subsequent years.; and/or”

2. The petitioner is essentially aggrieved by the impugned withdrawal



order dated 18.03.2025 passed by the WRC and the order dated 09.07.2025 passed by the appellate authority of NCTE.

3. Mr. Amitesh Kumar, learned counsel appearing on behalf of petitioner submits that the impugned withdrawal order dated 18.03.2025 has been passed by WRC without affording petitioner an opportunity of hearing.

4. He further submits that the ground on which withdrawal order has been passed is at variance with the grounds on which the show cause notice is premised.

5. On a pointed query posed by the Court to Ms. Renu Gupta, learned counsel appearing on behalf of respondent/NCTE, as to whether any hearing has been afforded by the WRC to petitioner, Ms. Gupta on instructions, submits that no hearing was afforded to petitioner before passing the impugned withdrawal order dated 18.03.2025.

6. In view of the above, the interest of justice would be subserved if the present petition is disposed of by setting aside the impugned withdrawal order dated 18.03.2025 passed by the WRC as well as order dated 09.07.2025 passed by the Appellate Authority of NCTE with a direction to WRC to reconsider the matter. Ordered accordingly.

7. Consequently, respondent/NCTE is directed the issue restoration of recognition of petitioners. Petitioners institute will be permitted to participate in counseling and admit students for the academic year 2025-2026 and also for subsequent academic years until and unless a fresh withdrawal order is passed against it.

8. The status of petitioner as a recognized institution will also be reflected on the respondent/NCTE's website and will be communicated by the respondent/NCTE to petitioner, affiliating University as well as to the



concerned Department of the State Government.

9. Respondents are directed to comply with these directions within period of 10 days.

10. The petition stands disposed of in above terms.

VIKAS MAHAJAN, J

JULY 28, 2025

N.S. ASWAL/jg