



\$~2

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **CONT.CAS(C) 1118/2025**

DR SHAILESH KUMAR

.....Petitioner

Through: **Mr. Anil Kumar Sinha, Advocate.**

versus

DR MAHESH VERMA

.....Respondent

Through:

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMIT SHARMA

ORDER

% **03.09.2025**

1. This hearing has been done through hybrid mode.

CM APPL. 45084/2025 (exemption)

2. Allowed, subject to all just exceptions. The application is disposed of.

CONT.CAS(C) 1118/2025

3. The present petition under Sections 2(b)/12 of the Contempt of Courts Act, 1971 read with Article 215 of the Constitution of India seeks the following prayers: -

“(a) initiate contempt proceedings against the contemnor/respondent i.e. Dr. Mahesh Verma, Vice Chancellor of Guru Gobind Singh Indraprastha University, New Delhi (GGSIPU) for wilfully disobeying the order dated 26.07.2024 passed by this Hon'ble Court in W.P.(Civil) No.7575/2022 titled as "Dr. Shaildesh Kumar Vs. Guru Gobind Singh Indraparastha University, through its Vice Chancellor & Anr." and/or
(b) pass any other or further order which this Hon'ble Court deems fit and proper in the facts and circumstances of the case as well as in the interest of justice.”

4. *Vide* order dated 26.07.2024, in W.P. (C) 7575/2022, following directions were passed: -

“In view of the innocuous prayer made on behalf of the petitioner and no objection on behalf of the respondents, learned counsel for



petitioner is granted liberty as prayed with direction to file an appropriate representation along with the copy of this order before the Vice Chancellor/ competent authority and after receiving the representation, the respondents are directed to dispose of the representation after giving personal hearing to the petitioner and pass a detailed and reasoned order in accordance with law, preferably within six weeks.”

5. In pursuance of the same, letter dated 16.01.2025 had been issued to the petitioner by the respondent, which is reproduced as under: -

38

ANNEXURE: P-4

GURU GOBIND SINGH INDRAPRASTHA UNIVERSITY
(A State University Established by the Govt. of NCT of Delhi)
SECTOR-16-C, DWARKA, NEW DELHI-110078
Establishment Branch (Teaching)
Email ID: teaching@ipu.ac.in
Ph. 011-25302187

F.No. GGSIPU/Pers.II/ROT/Med/2022/066/ 10024

Dated: 16.01.2025

Sub: Regarding Upgradation of Teaching Designation.

Ref: Writ Petition (Civil) No. 7575/2022 in matter of Dr.
Shailesh Kumar vs GGSIPU before Hon ble High Court
order dated 26.07.2024.

In compliance of Hon 'ble High Court order dated
26.07.2024, a Personal Hearing was given to Dr. Shailesh
Kumar on 24.12.2024.

It was discussed and informed that:

"Dr. Shailesh Kumar, Professor (Surgery), ABVIMS & Dr.
RML Hospital did not apply for upgradation of designation
during the period when the University had invited
applications and also no representation was received
during the window period when such representation were
invited by University.

Further, Dr. Shailesh Kumar, Professor (Surgery),
ABVIMS & Dr. RML Hospital was advised to submit his
application at the time, when University next invites


TRUE COPY

“

”



applications for grant/ upgradation of teaching designation.”

Due to revised NMC (erstwhile MCI) Regulations, Dr. Shailesh Kumar was requested to submit fresh application.

During the Personal Hearing Dr. Shailesh Kumar was again informed and requested about requirement to fill up and submit a fresh application in revised format to consider his case because the application submitted by Dr. Shailesh Kumar in 2016 is not applicable after the revised NMC Regulation.

However, Dr. Shailesh Kumar refused to submit fresh application in the revised format and had insisted to consider his case based on the form, earlier submitted by him during the year 2016.

This issues with the approval of Competent Authority.

Sd/-
(R. C. Kesarwani)
Deputy Registrar (Estt. Teaching)

Dr. Shailesh Kumar
Director & Professor,
Deptt. of Surgery ABVIMS & Dr. RML Hospital
D-II/244, Vinay Marg, Chanakya Puri,
New Delhi-110021

F.No. GGSIPU/Pers.II/ROT/Med/2022/066/ Dated: 16.01.2025

Copy for information & necessary action:


TRUE COPY

6. In view of the above, the directions with respect to the petitioner's representation have been decided after giving him personal hearing have since been complied with.



7. The petition is disposed of with liberty to the petitioner to initiate appropriate proceedings, if available, in accordance with law before the Court of competent jurisdiction.
8. Pending application(s), if any, also stands disposed of.

AMIT SHARMA, J

SEPTEMBER 3, 2025/bsr