



\$~84

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **CRL.M.C. 4961/2025**

ASHU VARMA

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr. Akhilesh Kumar Singh, Advocate
alongwith petitioner in person

versus

STATE NCT OF DELHI & ANR.

.....Respondents

Through: Mr. Rajkumar, APP for the State with
SI Akash Kumar, P.S. Bhajanpura,
HC Ashwani Chauhan
Respondent no. 2 in person

CORAM:

HON'BLE DR. JUSTICE SWARANA KANTA SHARMA

ORDER

%

28.07.2025

CRL.M.A. 21548/2025 (exemption)

1. Allowed, subject to all just exceptions.
2. Application stands disposed of.

CRL.M.A. 21532/2025 (for condonation of delay)

3. By way of the present application, the applicant seeks condonation of delay of 51 days in the re-filing the present petition.
4. For the reasons mentioned in the application, the same stands allowed.
The delay of 51 days in re-filing the present petition stands condoned.
5. Application stands disposed of.

CRL.M.C. 4961/2025

6. By way of the instant petition, the petitioner seeks quashing of FIR



bearing No. 156/2021, registered at Police Station Bhajanpura, Delhi for the commission of offence punishable under Sections 279/337/338 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860 (hereafter 'IPC').

7. Issue notice. The learned APP accepts notice on behalf of the State.
8. Petitioner and respondent no. 2 are present before this Court and have been identified by their counsel and Investigating Officer (IO) from Police Station Bhajanpura, Delhi.
9. Brief facts of the case are that petitioner and respondent no. 2 are neighbours. It is stated on the complaint of respondent no. 2, the present FIR was registered at Police Station Bhajanpura, Delhi against the petitioner. Thereafter, with the intervention of elders and respectable members of the society, both the parties have resolved their grievances and settled their all disputes *vide* Compromise Deed/Settlement dated 10.05.2025.
10. On a query made by this Court, respondent no.2 who has been identified by the IO, has categorically stated that he has entered into compromise out of his own free will and without any pressure, coercion or threat. It is also stated by respondent no. 2 that the entire dispute has been amicably settled between the parties and no objection for quashing of FIR has been given by respondent no. 2.
11. In view of the above facts that the parties have amicably resolved their differences out of their own free will and without any coercion, this Court is of the opinion that no useful purpose will be served by continuing the proceedings, rather the same would create further acrimony between them. Hence, it would be in the interest of justice to quash the abovementioned FIR and the proceedings pursuant thereto. Moreover, there is no legal impediment in quashing the FIR in question.



12. Accordingly, FIR bearing no. 156/2021, registered at Police Station Bhajanpura, Delhi for the commission of offence punishable under Sections 279/337/338 of IPC and all consequential proceedings emanating therefrom are quashed.

13. In view of above, the present petition stands disposed of.

14. The order be uploaded on the website forthwith.

DR. SWARANA KANTA SHARMA, J

JULY 28, 2025/ns