



\$~100

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**
+ **CM(M) 1367/2025, CM APPL. 44866/2025 & CM APPL. 44867/2025**
MUMTAZ

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr. Syed Hasan Isfahani, Adv.

versus

DELHI DEVELOPMENT AUTHORITY & ANR.

.....Respondents

Through: Ms. Shobhana Takiar, Standing
Counsel for DDA with Mr. Kuljeet
Singh, Mr. Shivam Takiar & Mr.
Prateek Dhir, Adv. for R-1.
Mr. Tushar Sannu, Standing Counsel
for DWB with Mr. Shivam, Adv. for
R-2/DWB.

CORAM:
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MANOJ JAIN

ORDER
28.07.2025

%

1. Petitioner has invoked supervisory jurisdiction under Article 227 of Constitution of India as he is aggrieved by the imposition of cost of Rs.5,000/- upon him.
2. Learned counsel for the respondents appear on advance notice.
3. This Court has gone through the various orders passed by the learned Trial Court from time to time, including the impugned order.
4. Fact, however, remains that invocation of supervisory jurisdiction under Article 227 does not seem to be warranted herein, as even otherwise,

CM(M) 1367/2025

1



the cost is neither excessive nor unconscionable.

5. Therefore, this Court is not inclined to interfere with the impugned order.
6. Let the unpaid cost be deposited with the concerned Authority within two weeks from today.
7. Petition stands disposed of in aforesaid terms.
8. Pending applications also stand disposed of in aforesaid terms.

MANOJ JAIN, J

JULY 28, 2025/ck/shs