



\$~26

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **W.P.(C) 10754/2025 CM APPL. 44459/2025**

ROHIT CHECHI

.....Petitioner

Through: **Mr. Vaibhav Chechi, Adv.**

versus

UNION OF INDIA AND ANR

.....Respondent

Through: **Mr. Induraj Singh Rai, SSC, Mr. Sanjeev Menon, JSC, Mr. Rahul Singh, JSC, Mr. Gaurav Kumar, Mr. Tanishq Ahuja, Advs. Mr. Awadhesh Kumar Singh, Adv. for R-1**

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE V. KAMESWAR RAO

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VINOD KUMAR

ORDER

25.07.2025

%

1. This petition has been filed with the following prayers:-

“A. Issue a writ of Mandamus or any other appropriate writ, order or direction directing the Respondents to refund the excess amount of ₹6,30,429/- (Six lakh thirty thousand four hundred twenty-nine rupees only) paid by the Petitioner under the VSV Scheme forthwith;

B. Direct the Respondents to pay statutory interest on the refunded amount from the date of payment till the date of refund in accordance with Sections 244A of the Income Tax Act, 1961;

C. Direct the Respondents to pay the costs of the present petition, including legal fees and incidental expenses, amounting to a sum of W.P.(C)-10754/2025 up to ₹50,000/- (fifty thousand rupees only), or such higher amount as this Hon'ble Court may deem just and proper in the facts and circumstances of the case;

D. Pass such other and further orders as this Hon'ble Court may deem just and proper in the interest of justice.”



2. In substance, the grievance of the petitioner is for a direction to the respondents to refund the alleged excess amount of ₹6,30,429/- (Six lakh thirty thousand four hundred twenty-nine rupees only) paid by the petitioner under the VSV Scheme.
3. The learned counsel appearing for the respondents, states that the prayer as made by the petitioner with regard to the refund of the aforesaid amount shall be considered.
4. As the grievance of the petitioner is only for the refund of the alleged excess amount of ₹6,30,429/- (Six lakh thirty thousand four hundred twenty-nine rupees only), said to have been paid by the petitioner under the VSV Scheme, we deem it appropriate to dispose of the writ petition by directing the respondents to consider the prayer of the petitioner in this writ petition for refund of the aforesaid amount and pass appropriate orders. The decision thereof shall be communicated to the petitioner.
5. The petition is disposed of. The pending application is also disposed of.

V. KAMESWAR RAO, J

VINOD KUMAR, J

JULY 25, 2025

tg