



\$~20

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **CRL.M.C. 4932/2025**

DIPANSHU

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr. Faheem Alam, Adv. with the
petitioner in person

versus

THE STATE GOVT OF NCT OF DELHI & ORS.Respondents

Through: Mr. Raghuinder Verma, APP for State
with Mr. Aditya Vikram Singh and Mr. Ashish
Mahani, Advs. with SI Saurabh and HC Ramveer,
PS Tigri

Mr. Ajjay Aroraa, Senior Adv. along with
Mr. Vansh Luthra, Adv.

Respondent no. 3 in person

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AJAY DIGPAUL

ORDER

%

26.11.2025

1. The instant petition under Section 528 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 (hereinafter "BNSS") [earlier Section 482 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 (hereinafter "CrPC")] has been filed by the petitioner praying for quashing of FIR no. 271/2025 registered at Police Station Tigri for the offences punishable under Sections 126(2)/3(5)/118(1) of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023.
2. The brief facts of the case are that on 20.05.2025, respondent no. 3 was allegedly assaulted near B-Block, JJ Camp, Tigri, by the two unknown persons, including the petitioner, who stopped him, demanded money for



liquor, abused him, and thereafter one of them inflicted multiple stab injuries while the other restrained him.

3. It is submitted that the petitioner and respondent no. 3 have settled their disputes amicably and are now moving forward towards a peaceful and harmonious future.

4. Mutual Agreement dated 11.06.2025 is on record and has been annexed as Annexure P-2 (Colly). Qua this deed, the respondent no. 3 has agreed to withdraw the case arising out of FIR No. 271/2025 registered at Police Station Tigri against the petitioner.

5. It is prayed by the learned counsel appearing on behalf of the parties that the instant FIR may be quashed on the basis of the compromise.

6. Learned APP for the State, who appears on advance notice, accepts notice and submits that there is no opposition to the prayer made by the petitioner seeking quashing of the FIR in question in view of the settlement arrived at between the parties.

7. Heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the record.

8. The petitioners are present before this Court and have been identified by their counsel and Investigating Officer, Police Station Tigri. Respondent no. 3 is also present in the Court and has been identified by his counsel and the Investigating Officer.

9. On a query made by this Court, respondent no. 3 has categorically stated that he has entered into compromise on his own free will and without any pressure. It is also stated by him that the entire dispute has been amicably settled between the parties.

10. Keeping in view the fact that the matter stands amicably been settled between the petitioner and respondent no. 3 amicably without any pressure



and the nature of injury was simple, no fruitful purpose would be served by keeping the matter pending.

11. It is, thus, in the interest of justice to quash the afore-mentioned FIR and the proceedings emanating therefrom.

12. Hence, in light of the judgment of the Hon'ble Supreme Court passed in ***Gian Singh vs. State of Punjab* (2012) 10 SCC 303**, FIR no. 271/2025 registered at Police Station Tigri for the offences punishable under Sections 126(2)/3(5)/118(1) of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023, and consequent proceedings emanating therefrom, are quashed *qua* the present petitioner.

13. The petition along with pending application(s), if any, stands disposed of.

AJAY DIGPAUL, J

NOVEMBER 26, 2025

Sk/dd