



\$~14 & 18

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ CRL.M.C. 4917/2025

RAJIV BHOJ & ORS.

.....Petitioners

Through: Mr. Shailesh Kumar and Mr. Deepak
Pathak, Advocates

versus

STATE NCT OF DELHI AND ANR.

.....Respondents

Through: Mr. Digam Singh Dagar, APP for the
State.
Ms. Geeta Dhingra, Advocate for the
complainant.

(18)

+ CRL.M.C. 5153/2022

SMT KRISHNA BHOJ AND ANR

.....Petitioners

Through: Mr. Shailesh Kumar, Advocate

versus

THE STATE NCT OF DELHI AND ANR.

.....Respondents

Through: Mr. Naresh Kumar Chahar, APP for
the State with Ms. Amisha Dahiya,
Advocate
Ms. Geeta Dhingra, Advocate for the
complainant.

CORAM:

HON'BLE DR. JUSTICE SWARANA KANTA SHARMA

ORDER

18.11.2025

%

1. By way of the present petitions, the petitioners seek quashing of FIR



bearing no. 307/2016, registered at Police Station Rajinder Nagar, Delhi for the commission of offence punishable under Sections 498A/406/34 of Indian Penal Code, 1860 (hereafter ‘IPC’).

2. Issue notice. The learned APP accepts notice on behalf of the State.

3. The petitioners and respondent no. 2 are present before this Court in person. They have been identified by their counsels and concerned Investigating Officer (IO) from Police Station Rajinder Nagar, Delhi.

4. Briefly stated, facts of the present case are that the marriage between petitioner no. 1 in CRL.M.C. 4917/2025 and respondent no. 2 was solemnized on 13.07.2015, as per the Hindu rites and ceremonies at Delhi. No child was born out of the said wedlock. It is stated that respondent no. 2 started living separately since December, 2015. Due to matrimonial differences which had been arisen between the parties, respondent no. 2 had filed a complaint which culminated into the present FIR against the petitioners under the relevant sections. It is further stated that with the intervention of friends and family, both the parties have now amicably settled their dispute before Delhi High Court Mediation and Conciliation Centre *vide* Settlement Agreement dated 23.02.2024, entered between them. It is stated that the parties have also taken divorce by way of mutual consent from the concerned Court.

5. On a query made by this Court, respondent no.2, who has been identified by the concerned IO, has categorically stated that she has entered into compromise out of her own free will and without any pressure, coercion or threat. It is also stated by respondent no. 2 that the entire dispute has been amicably settled between the parties. Therefore, she has no objection if the present FIR is quashed.



6. The learned counsel appearing for the petitioners has handed over a Demand Draft bearing no. 463037, drawn on IndusInd Bank for an amount of Rs.20,00,000/- to respondent no. 2, in the Court today in both the cases.
7. In view of the above fact that the parties have amicably resolved their differences of their own free will, without any coercion and no useful purpose will be served by continuing the proceedings, rather the same would create further acrimony between them. It would thus be in the interest of justice to quash the abovementioned FIR and the proceedings pursuant thereto. There is no legal impediment in quashing the FIR in question.
8. Accordingly, FIR bearing no. 307/2016, registered at Police Station Rajinder Nagar, Delhi for the commission of offence punishable under Sections 498A/406/34 of IPC and all consequential proceedings emanating therefrom are quashed.
9. In view of the above, the present petitions stand disposed of.
10. The order be uploaded on the website forthwith.

DR. SWARANA KANTA SHARMA, J

NOVEMBER 18, 2025/zp/r