



\$~65

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **CRL.M.C. 4891/2025**

SUNNY @ DEEPAK

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr. Arun Kumar and Mr. Hari Om,
Advs.

Petitioner in person.

versus

**THE STATE (GOVT. OF NCT OF DELHI) &
ANR.**

.....Respondents

Through: Ms. Meenakshi Dahiya, APP for
State.

SI Poonam and SI Balarani

Mr. Yash Rohilla and Ms. Anita Kaur, Advs. for R-
2 along with Respondent no.2 in person.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AJAY DIGPAUL

ORDER

16.10.2025

%

1. The instant petition under Section 528 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 (hereinafter "BNSS") [earlier Section 482 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 (hereinafter "CrPC")] has been filed by the petitioner praying for quashing of FIR No.779/2020, registered at Police Station – Najafgarh on 03.09.2020, for offences punishable under Sections 376/506/509 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860 (hereinafter "IPC").

2. The brief facts of the case are that respondent no. 2 alleged that the petitioner met her at a marriage function in November 2019 and assured her of a government job at the airport. On the pretext of helping her secure the



job and marrying her, he allegedly established physical relations with her forcibly in December 2019, made objectionable videos and photographs without her knowledge, and continued to exploit and blackmail her. He allegedly extorted around ₹45,000, threatening to circulate the obscene material. Respondent no. 2 later discovered that petitioner was already married with two children. Pursuant thereto, the present FIR was registered.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the chargesheet has already been filed against the petitioner. It is further submitted that the petitioner and respondent no. 2 have amicably settled their disputes and are desirous of leading a peaceful and harmonious life.

4. A mutual settlement deed dated 12.07.2025 has been executed between the parties, which is on record and annexed as “Annexure-C (Colly)”. In terms thereof, respondent no. 2 has agreed to withdraw the case arising out of FIR No. 779/2020, registered at Police Station Najafgarh against the petitioner.

5. It is prayed by the learned counsel appearing on behalf of the parties that the instant FIR may be quashed on the basis of the compromise.

6. Learned APP for the State, who appears on advance notice, accepts notice and submits that there is no opposition to the prayer made by the petitioner seeking quashing of the FIR in question in view of the settlement arrived at between the parties.

7. Heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the record.

8. The petitioner is present before this Court and has been identified by his counsel and Investigating Officer, Police Station Najafgarh. Respondent no. 2 is also present in the Court and has been identified by her counsel and the Investigating Officer.



9. Upon a query put forth by this Court, respondent no. 2 has categorically stated that she has entered into the compromise of her own volition and without any coercion or undue influence. It has further been stated that the entire dispute stands amicably resolved between the parties. She affirmed that the said settlement has been arrived at for securing her future and, as a matter of prudence, the parties have decided to put a quietus to the present proceedings. It has been submitted that respondent no. 2 is looking forward and taking steps to rebuild and move on with her life.

10. In view of the fact that the parties have amicably settled the matter, and further having regard to the circumstance that no useful purpose would be served by continuing with the present proceedings, which would rather cause hardship to respondent no. 2 in moving ahead with her life. This Court is conscious that ordinarily, it is not inclined to entertain petitions of this nature where the alleged offences are of a grave kind. However, since respondent no. 2 has voluntarily and of her own free will expressed her desire to put the matter behind her and move on with her life, this Court is persuaded to take this view.

11. This Court, on the submission made by respondent no. 2, observes that she has been unable to find suitors for marriage owing to the pendency of the present FIR and the proceedings arising therefrom. The continuance of such proceedings has, in effect, hindered her from resuming a normal course of life and moving on from the alleged incident.

12. It is, thus, in the interest of justice to quash the aforementioned FIR and the proceedings emanating therefrom.

13. Hence, in light of the judgment of the Hon'ble Supreme Court passed in *Gian Singh v. State of Punjab (2012) 10 SCC 303*, FIR No. 779/2020,



registered at Police Station Najafgarh for the offences punishable under Sections 376/506/509 of the IPC, and consequent proceedings emanating therefrom, are quashed *qua* the present petitioner.

14. The petition along with pending application(s), if any, stands disposed of.

AJAY DIGPAUL, J

OCTOBER 16, 2025/AS/yr