



\$~22

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **W.P.(CRL) 2255/2025**

SKAND GUPT AND ORS.

.....Petitioners

Through: Mr. Subhash Chandra and Mr. Arvind
Kumar, Advocates with Petitioners.

versus

THE STATE OF NCT OF DELHI AND ANR.Respondents

Through: Mr. Sanjay Lao, Standing Counsel
(Crl.) for the State.
Mr. Hinu Mahajan, Advocate along
with Respondents.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE NEENA BANSAL KRISHNA

ORDER

21.11.2025

%

CRL.M.A. 21040/2025 (Exemption)

1. Exemption allowed, subject to all just exceptions.
2. The Application stands disposed of.

W.P.(CRL) 2255/2025

3. Petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India read with Section 528 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 (*hereinafter referred to as 'B.N.S.S.'*), has been filed on behalf of the Petitioners, for quashing of FIR No. 0431/2024 under Section 498A/406/34 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860 (*hereinafter referred to as 'IPC'*) and Section 4 of Dowry Prohibition Act, registered at Police Station Seemapuri, Delhi and all the consequential proceedings emanating therefrom, in terms of the Settlement dated 18.01.2025.



4. Issue Notice.
5. On advance Notice, learned Standing Counsel (CrI.) has appeared and accepted the Notice on behalf of the State.
6. Brief facts of the case are that the marriage was solemnized between Petitioner No.1/husband and the Respondent No. 2/wife on 31.03.2022, according to the Hindu rites and ceremonies and daughter, Baby Ivanshika Singh was born out of the said wedlock on 18.05.2023.
7. On the Complaint of the Respondent No.2/Complainant, FIR. 0431/2024 under Section 498A/406/34 of IPC and Section 4 of Dowry Prohibition Act, got registered at Police Station Seemapuri.
8. It is submitted that the FIR was a consequence of the matrimonial disputes between the Complainant and the Petitioner No.1. It is stated that the Petitioner No.1 and the Respondent No. 2 have amicably settled all the disputes and differences between them and arrived at Settlement before the Karkardooma Courts, Delhi, dated 18.01.2025. In the Settlement, it was *inter alia* settled between the parties that the Respondent No. 2/wife and the Petitioner No.1/husband shall dissolve their marriage by decree of mutual consent under Section 13-B(1) and 13-B(2) of Hindu Marriage Act, 1955. It is stated that the Petitioner No.1/husband shall pay a sum of Rs.35,00,000/- towards full and final settlement of all the claims in all respect i.e. towards past, present and future maintenance, balance *istridhan* and alimony of the Respondent No. 2/wife. It is also settled between the parties that the custody of the female child shall remain with the Respondent No. 2. It is also settled between the parties that they shall not litigate in future against each other qua this marriage.
9. It is stated that the Petitioner No.1 has already paid Rs.30,00,000/- to



the Respondent No. 2/wife.

10. Today, The Petitioner No. 1 has handed over a Demand Draft bearing No.003414, in the sum of Rs.5,00,000/- i.e .the balance amount, dated 17.11.2025, drawn from Bank of India, Sangaria Branch, in favour of Parul Rai, to the Respondent No. 2/wife, which is accepted by her.

11. It is further submitted on behalf of the Respondent No. 2 that there was a Bank Account No. 20360110022900 in UCO Bank, which though, in the name of the Respondent No. 2, was opened by the Petitioner No.1/husband, Mr Skand Gupt. It is submitted that because there are some issues about the signatures on the Account Opening Form, the Bank is insisting the presence of Mr. Skand Gupta and the Respondent No. 2, for closing the said Account. The Petitioner No. 1, Mr. Skand Gupt agrees that he shall accompany the Complainant today itself and appear before the Bank and give the Statement and assist her in closing of the said Bank Account and that the FDRs against the said Account, shall be shared equally by both the parties.

12. The Respondent No. 2/wife, who is present in the Court, states that she has received all amounts due to her and has no objection if the FIR is quashed.

13. It is also stated that on 07.04.2025, the marriage between the Petitioner No.1 and the Respondent No. 2, had been dissolved by mutual consent, as per the Hindu law.

14. The parties are present before this Court in-person today, and have been identified by their Counsel and Investigating Officer concerned and they have entered into the Settlement voluntarily and without any fear and coercion and undertake to remain bound by the terms of the said Settlement.



15. Considering the nature of the allegations and that they have settled the matter, the FIR No.0431/2024 under Section 498A/406/34 of IPC and Section 4 of Dowry Prohibition Act, registered at Police Station Seemapuri and all the consequential proceedings emanating therefrom are quashed. It is hereby clarified that the said Compromise is without prejudice to the rights and entitlement of the child.

16. The Petition is disposed of accordingly.

NEENA BANSAL KRISHNA, J

NOVEMBER 21, 2025/RS