



\$~61

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **RC.REV. 288/2022**

KAUSHAL KUMAR

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr. M.M. Kashyap, Adv. with
Petitioner in-person

versus

VIJAY KUMAR FARSHWAL

.....Respondent

Through: None.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE TARA VITASTA GANJU

ORDER

% **24.02.2025**

CM Appl. 10824/2025[For restoration]

1. The Petitioner is physically present in the Court today.
2. Learned Counsel for the Petitioner, on instructions, submits that possession of the subject premises has not been handed over to the Respondent despite directions of the Court.
- 2.1 Learned Counsel for the Petitioner, on instructions, further submits that the execution proceedings are listed before the learned Trial Court today.
3. After some arguments, learned Counsel for the Petitioner seeks and is granted liberty of the Court to withdraw the present Application.
4. The Application is accordingly dismissed as withdrawn.
5. File is consigned to record.
6. The parties will act based on the digitally signed copy of the order.

TARA VITASTA GANJU, J

FEBRUARY 24, 2025/r/jn

[Click here to check corrigendum, if any](#)