



\$~22

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ RC.REV. 210/2024, CM APPL. 44560/2024-Stay, CM APPL. 44561/2024-Exp.

RAJ KUMAR

.....Petitioner

Through: None.

versus

SH. RAVI GUPTA

.....Respondent

Through: Mr. Alankar Tewari, Adv. (through VC)

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SAURABH BANERJEE

ORDER

% **15.09.2025**

1. There is no appearance on behalf of the petitioner.
2. Learned counsel for the respondent reiterates his submissions made on the last date of hearing, i.e. 21.08.2025 that since the petitioner/landlord has already taken possession of the subject premises on 05.06.2025, the present petition has become infructuous.
3. As such, as also considering the dictum passed by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in *N.C. Daga v. Inder Mohan Singh Rana* [2003 (1) SCC 453 and *Vinod Kumar Verma v. Manmohan Verma & Anr.* [Civil Appeal Nos.5220-5221 of 2008 order dated 19.08.2008], the present petition is *per se* not maintainable.
4. In view of the above, the present petition alongwith the pending applications having rendered infructuous, stands disposed of.

SAURABH BANERJEE, J

SEPTEMBER 15, 2025/bh