



\$~61

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **W.P.(CRL) 2247/2025**

SH. AMIT MALIK & ORS.

.....Petitioners

Through: Mr. Anil Panwar, Advocate with
petitioner in person.

versus

STATE GOVT. OF NCT OF DELHI & ANR.

.....Respondents

Through: Mr. Yasir Rauf Ansari, ASC for the
State with Mr. Alok Sharma,
Advocate.
Mr. Sudhir Shokeen, Advocate for
respondent no. 2.
Respondent no. 2 in person.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN MONGA

ORDER

29.07.2025

%

1. Petitioners herein seek quashing of FIR No. 445/2023 dated 18.08.2023 lodged under Sections 498A, 406, 34 IPC, registered at P.S. Prem Nagar, Rohini (Delhi), Delhi, on the basis of compromise arrived between the parties.
2. The petitioner no. 1 (husband) and respondent no.2/complainant (wife) were married on 19.02.2018. Petitioner no. 2 is the step father in law, petitioner no. 3 is the mother-in-law and petitioner no. 4 is the sister-in-law of the complainant.
3. The marriage later resulted in acrimony and there were allegations



and cross allegations. Consequently, a complaint was filed at CAW Cell, Rohini by the wife and later transferred to P.S. Prem Nagar where FIR No. 445/2023 under Sections 498A/406/34 IPC was registered.

4. It seems that petitioners and respondent no. 2 have now amicably settled their differences *vide* the Memorandum of Understanding dated 16.06.2025. Pursuant thereto, the couple has resumed their matrimonial ties. Respondent no. 2 in the FIR is present in person, and I have interacted with her and it so appears that she has settled the dispute without any duress and coercion and out of her own free will.

5. Thus, further proceedings in the present matter would be an abuse of the process of law. Particularly, when the parties have amicably settled their disputes and are now enjoying matrimonial bliss after burying the hatchet. The dispute does not involve any public interest or concern of society at large. Rather, continuation of proceedings may result in hostility between the parties and defeat the very purpose of settlement.

6. The trial would serve no fruitful purpose. Therefore, the proceedings deserve to be quashed in exercise of the inherent powers of the Court. Reference in this context may also be had to judgment rendered in the case of ***Gian Singh Vs. State of Punjab & Anr. [(2012) 10 SCC 303]***.

7. In the premise, looking at larger interest of justice, invoking inherent powers under Section 528 BNSS, it is deemed expedient to quash the FIR in question arising out of matrimonial dispute between the private parties.

8. Consequently, the instant petition is allowed. The criminal proceedings arising out of FIR No. 445/2023 dated 18.08.2023, for the alleged offences under Sections 498-A, 406, and 34 IPC, registered at P.S.



Prem Nagar, Rohini, Delhi, against the petitioner nos. 1 to 4 and further proceedings arising therefrom are hereby quashed.

ARUN MONGA, J

JULY 29, 2025
kd