



\$~184

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **W.P.(C) 10395/2025**

SHRI UKABHAI DODIYA B.ED. COLLEGEPetitioner

Through: **Mr. Gaurav Arora, Adv.**

versus

NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION & ANR.

.....Respondents

Through: **Ms. Renu Gupta, Panel Counsel with
Ms. Carina Arora, Adv. for NCTE.
Mr. Manish Srivastava, Mr. Moksh
Arora and Mr. Santosh Ramdurg,
Advs. for TPDDL.
Mr. Anuj Chaturvedi, Mr. Naveen
Kumar Raheja, Ms. Apoorva Chandra
and Mr. Anant Vijay Singh, Advs. for
DUSIB.**

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS MAHAJAN

ORDER

%

21.07.2025

CM APPL. 43225/2025 (exemption)

1. Allowed, subject to all just exceptions.
2. Application stands disposed of.

W.P.(C) 10395/2025

3. The present petition has been filed by the petitioners seeking following relief:



“[a] issue a writ of mandamus and direct the Respondent No. 2 to forthwith pass an order of restoration of recognition of the petitioner for B.Ed course and reflect the status of the petitioner as a recognized institution on its official website in compliance of orders dated 08.04.21 & 30.07.21 passed by this Hon'ble Court in W.P (C) nos.4382/21 & 7260/21 respectively; and

[b] Issue a writ of mandamus and direct the Respondent No.2 to send copy of such restoration order to the affiliating university of the petitioner namely "Bhakta Kavi Narsinh Mehta University" and permit the petitioner to take part in counseling for academic session 2025-26 & subsequent years; and”

4. Mr. Gaurav Arora, learned counsel appearing on behalf of petitioners submits that petitioner institute was recognized for B.Ed. course for 100 seats (Two Basic Units). However, the said recognition was withdrawn by the Western Regional Committee *vide* its order dated 14.05.2025.
5. Against the said order of withdrawal of recognition, petitioner preferred an appeal before the appellate authority, and the appellate authority *vide* its order dated 24.06.2025 had remanded the case of the petitioner institute to the WRC-NCTE, setting aside the withdrawal order and for necessary action.
6. However, while setting aside the withdrawal order, the appellate authority did not specifically direct that the institution is entitled to the benefit of recognition until fresh order is passed.
7. He submits that after the matter was remanded by the appellate authority, WRC has not issued any order restoring the recognition of the institution with all other consequential benefits.
8. He further contends that in view of the order dated 24.06.2025 passed



by the appellate authority, respondents were obliged to restore the recognition of petitioner institution and update the same on website.

9. In view of the above, issue notice. Ms. Renu Gupta, learned counsel appearing on behalf of respondent/NCTE accepts notice.

10. She, on instructions, submits that necessary action will be taken by the respondent NCTE. The statement is taken on record and the respondent NCTE shall remain bound by the same.

11. It is further directed that necessary action be taken by the NCTE within a period of one week.

12. In view of the statement of the learned counsel for the respondent/NCTE, Mr. Gaurav Arora submits that no further orders are called for in the present petition.

13. The petition is disposed of in the above terms.

VIKAS MAHAJAN, J

JULY 21, 2025

N.S. ASWAL