



\$~19 & 20

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **W.P.(C) 8292/2016 & CM APPL. 36367/2016**

M/S AXOR GOLD TRADING FZEPetitioner

Through: Mr. Rahul Raheja, Mr. Gaurav
Prakash and Mr. Rohit Raheja, Advs.
(M: 9811216445)

versus

UNION OF INDIA & ORSRespondents

Through: Mr. Akshay Amritanshu, Senior
Standing Counsel CBIC, Ms. Drishti
Saraf & Ms. Pragya Upadhyay, Advs.
(M: 9931282222)
Mr. Bhagwan Swarup Shukla, CGSC
with Mr. Sunita Shukla, Adv.

20

And

+ **W.P.(C) 16913/2022**

M/S AXOR GOLD TRADING FZEPetitioner

Through: Mr. Rahul Raheja, Mr. Gaurav
Prakash and Mr. Rohit Raheja, Advs.

versus

COMMISSIONER OF CUSTOMSRespondent

Through: Mr. Akshay Amritanshu, Senior
Standing Counsel CBIC, Ms. Drishti
Saraf & Ms. Pragya Upadhyay, Advs.

CORAM:

JUSTICE PRATHIBA M. SINGH

JUSTICE RAJNEESH KUMAR GUPTA

ORDER

% **17.04.2025**

1. This hearing has been done through hybrid mode.
2. The present petition has been filed by the Petitioner under Article 226 of the Constitution of India *inter alia* seeking to direct the Respondent nos.2 and 3 to return the goods as mentioned in the *panchnama*/seizure memo dated



19th April, 2015 to the Petitioner.

3. On the last date i.e., 4th March, 2025, the Court had observed as under:

“6. Here, the Court notices that repeated adjournments have been granted to the Petitioner to show the connection between the Petitioner and the noticee – Mohd. Qumaruzumma, however, no documents have been filed since 2023 onwards.

7. Accordingly, list on 17th April, 2025.

8. However, it is clarified that this shall be the last opportunity given to the Petitioner to take steps, failing which, the Court would proceed on the next date of hearing.”

4. Today, Id. Counsel for the Petitioner wishes to withdraw both these petitions on the ground that the Petitioner has not been able to bring any documents to show the relationship between the Petitioner and Mohd. Quamruzzamma.

5. However he submits that he should be permitted to avail of his legal remedies, if some documents become available in the future.

6. Dismissed as withdrawn with liberty as prayed for, which can be availed of, in accordance with law.

PRATHIBA M. SINGH, J

RAJNEESH KUMAR GUPTA, J

APRIL 17, 2025

dj/ar