



\$~85

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **O.M.P.(MISC.)(COMM.) 547/2025**

M/S OM CONSTRUCTION

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr. Mukesh Kumar & Mr. Harsh
Kashyap, Advs

versus

DELHI DEVELOPMENT AUTHORITY & ANR.

.....Respondents

Through: Mr. Shashi Pratap Singh, Advocate
along with Mr Rahul, Legal Assistant
DDA

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUBRAMONIUM PRASAD

ORDER

% **21.07.2025**

I.A. 17006/2025 (Exemption)

Allowed, subject to all just exceptions.

O.M.P.(MISC.)(COMM.) 547/2025

1. This Petition under Section 29A(4) of the Arbitration and Conciliation Act, 1996 has been filed by the Petitioner for extending the mandate of the Arbitral Tribunal.
2. Material on record indicates that the Arbitral Tribunal was constituted on 19.07.2022 It is stated that the mandate of the Arbitral Tribunal was last extended by this Court vide Order dated 07.11.2024 for a period of six months. The mandate of the Arbitral Tribunal has expired on 02.02.2025.
3. Learned Counsel appearing for the Respondents states that he has no



objection to the extension of the mandate of the Arbitral Tribunal.

4. It is stated that the Award has been reserved for pronouncement.

5. The Apex Court in Rohan Builders (India) Private Limited v. Berger Paints India Limited, **2024 SCC OnLine SC 2494**, has held that an application for extension of the time period for passing an arbitral award under Section 29A(4) read with Section 29A(5) is maintainable even after the expiry of the twelve-month or the extended six-month period, as the case may be.

6. In view of the facts of the present case, this Court is inclined to extend the mandate of the Arbitral Tribunal till 31.10.2025, so that the Award can be pronounced.

7. The Petition is disposed of, along with the pending applications, if any.

SUBRAMONIUM PRASAD, J

JULY 21, 2025

S. Zakir