



\$~3

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **W.P.(C) 10218/2025 & CM APPL. 42434-42435/2025**

ASHA RAM

.....Petitioner

Through: Ms. Pragya Jaishwal, Mr. Ahsan Sanjar, Ms. Shivani Verma, Ms. Arpita Singh, Mr. Prateek Raj, Ms. Mishra Divya Santosh, Adv. for Mr. Kamlesh Kumar Mishra, Adv.

versus

MUNICIPAL CORPORATION OF DELHI MCD & ORS.

.....Respondents

Through: Ms. Beenashaw Soni, Standing Counsel along with Ms. Mansi Jain, Adv. for MCD.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE NITIN WASUDEO SAMBRE

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANISH DAYAL

ORDER

28.07.2025

%

1. We have heard respective counsels for the parties.
2. In the background of the Vending Certificate (produced at *Annexure P-3*), petitioner contends that he has a right to vend at a particular place. He has prayed for issuance of directions to respondents, for restraining them from illegally harassing and obstructing the petitioner.
3. Upon a perusal of the Vending Certificate, it can be inferred that petitioner has not been provided a particular place for vending.
4. In such an eventuality, it is not possible for us to grant the relief claimed in the petition, thereby to issue a restraint order against the respondents.



5. However, it is clarified that if petitioner vends in accordance with the valid Vending Certificate, we see no reason as to why the respondents should initiate any action against petitioner.
6. With above observations, we dispose of the present petition.
7. Pending applications, if any, also stand disposed of.
8. Order be uploaded on the website of this Court.

NITIN WASUDEO SAMBRE, J

ANISH DAYAL, J

JULY 28, 2025/ak/sp