



\$~43

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **W.P.(CRL) 2193/2025**

AKHILESH SINGH CHAUDHARI

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr. Anubhav Sharma and Mr. Tushar
Rohmetra, Advocates.

versus

THE STATE (GOVT. OF NCT OF DELHI) & ANR.Respondents

Through: Ms. Rupali Bandhopadhya, ASC with
Mr. Abhijeet Kumar and Ms. Amisha
Gupta, Advocates for State.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE NEENA BANSAL KRISHNA

ORDER

%

18.07.2025

CRL.M.A. 20477/2025

1. Exemption allowed, subject to all just exceptions.
2. The Application stands disposed of.

W.P.(CRL) 2193/2025

3. Petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India read with Section 528 of the Bharatiya Nagrik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 ("BNSS") has been filed on behalf of the Petitioner for quashing of FIR No.0373/2019 under Sections 387/506 IPC registered at PS: Alipur and all consequential proceedings emanating therefrom, in terms of Settlement Deed dated 08.07.2025.
4. Issue Notice.
5. Learned ASC for State appears on advance Notice and accepts Notice.
6. Learned ASC for State has objection to the Petition and submits that Petitioner is Akhilesh Singh Chaudhari, whose name does not appear in the



FIR and the accused is Mahesh Chandra Sharma, who is not even a party to the present Petition. It is further submitted that considering the totality of the circumstances, partial quashing of the FIR may not be permitted.

7. Submission heard and record perused.

8. As per the record, Complainant and Mahesh Chandra Sharma were the partners in a partnership firm, wherein, certain disputes arose. The Complainant made specific allegations against Mahesh Chandra Sharma, not only of threatening him but also engaging gangsters to threaten him with life.

9. Considering the nature of allegations, partial quashing of FIR cannot be permitted.

10. Petition is accordingly dismissed. Pending Application, if any, also stand disposed of.

NEENA BANSAL KRISHNA, J.

JULY 18, 2025/R