



\$~2 & 3

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **BAIL APPLN. 2532/2025**

KARAN MANCHANDA

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr. Sanjay Dewan, Senior Advocate
with Mr. Akash Sethi, Mr. Rohit
Arora, Ms. Kavya Kakkar, Mr. Anish
Dewan, Ms. Garima Dewan and Ms.
Vedika Gupta, Advocates.

versus

STATE NCT OF DELHI AND ANR

.....Respondents

Through: Mr. Utkarsh, APP for the State with
Inspector Suraj Pal, PS Bharat Nagar.

3

+ **BAIL APPLN. 2624/2025**

ANURAG YADAV @ VISHAL RAO

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr. Abhishek Khari, Advocate.
versus

STATE (NCT OF DELHI)

.....Respondent

Through: Mr. Utkarsh, APP for the State with
Inspector Suraj Pal, PS Bharat Nagar.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE NEENA BANSAL KRISHNA

ORDER

%

06.08.2025

CRL.M.A. 20478/2025 (Exemption) in BAIL APPLN. 2624/2025

1. Exemption allowed, subject to all just exceptions.
2. The Application stands disposed of.

BAIL APPLN. 2532/2025 & BAIL APPLN. 2624/2025



3. Second Bail Application bearing BAIL APPLN. 2532/2025 under Section 483 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 (*hereinafter referred to as 'B.N.S.S.'*) Petitioner, Karan Manchanda and the First Bail Application bearing BAIL APPLN. 2624/2025 under Section 483 of B.N.S.S. (*old Section 439 of Cr.PC*) have been filed on behalf of the Petitioner, Anurag Yadav @ Vishal Rao respectively for grant of Regular Bail in FIR No. 349/2024 dated 11.05.2024 under Section 323/302/34 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860 (*hereinafter referred to as 'IPC'*) registered at Police Station Bharat Nagar.

4. It is submitted that the Application filed before the Ld. Sessions Court, has been dismissed *vide* Order dated 05.07.2025 The Charges have already been framed and the material prosecution witnesses have already been recorded, who have not supported the case of the Prosecution.

5. Petitioner, Karan Manchanda is 26 years old and had surrendered before the police authorities at Police Station Bharat Nagar on the same day. He has been falsely implicated in this case. He has clean antecedents. It is further submitted that that he is in judicial custody for more than one year and three months. The Applicant has been granted Interim Bail four times during the trial and he has abided by the terms so imposed upon him. He always surrendered on time. While the Bail Application of the Petitioners has been dismissed, but on the same ground the Bail has been granted to Vishwas Raj @ Vimcy *vide* Order dated 03.06.2025.

6. Reliance has placed on P. Chidambaram vs. Directorate of Enforcement, (2020) 13 SSC 791 and K. Rajapandian vs. State of NCT of Delhi, to submit that '*the object of bail is to secure the attendance of the Accused.*' Reliance has also been placed on Yudhveer Singh Yadav vs.



Central Bureau of Investigation through Secretary, Government of India,
Bail Application No. 3563/2023 (Delhi High Court).

7. **Petitioner, Anurag Yadav @ Vishal Rao** is in judicial custody since 14.05.2024. It is submitted that in the Application filed before the Ld. Sessions Court, has been dismissed *vide* Orders dated 01.07.2025. The Charges have already been framed and all the public witnesses have already been examined, who have not supported the case of the Prosecution. The Petitioner has deep roots in the society, has a permanent place of residence and there is no likelihood of him absconding or tampering with prosecution evidence.

8. *Hence, a prayer for grant of Regular Bail is sought.*

9. **Status Report has been filed on behalf of the State** wherein it is submitted that on the basis of information received vide DD No. 45A regarding a dead person being brought to Deep Chand Bandhu Hospital. Investigations were commenced and the FIR No. 349/2024 was registered. During the investigations, the name of two Applicants along with Vishwas Raj @ Vimcy, emerged as the accused persons. They were arrested and Charge-Sheet filed. Eight witnesses have already been recorded, out of 32 prosecution witnesses. There was no previous involvement of the two Petitioners.

10. **Submissions heard and the record perused.**

11. The case of the Prosecution rested on the statements of two eye witnesses, but they have not supported the case of the Prosecution. The other six witnesses examined by the Prosecution, have also not supported the Prosecution. The Applicants, Karan Manchanda and Anurag Yadav @ Vishal Rao are in judicial custody for more than one year and three months.



Considering the totality of circumstances, both the Applicants, Karan Manchanda and Anurag Yadav @ Vishal Rao are granted Regular Bail, on the following terms and conditions:

- a) The Petitioners/Accused shall furnish a personal bond of Rs.35,000/- each and one surety of the like amount each, subject to the satisfaction of the learned Trial Court.
 - b) The Petitioners/Accused shall appear before the Court as and when the matters are taken up for hearing;
 - c) The Petitioners/Accused shall provide their mobile number/changed mobile number to the IO concerned which shall be kept in working condition at all times;
 - d) The Petitioners/Accused shall not indulge in any criminal activity and shall not communicate or intimidate the witnesses.
 - e) In case the Petitioners/Accused change their residential addresses, the same shall be intimated to learned Trial Court and to the concerned I.O.
12. The copy of this Order be communicated to the concerned Jail Superintendent, as well as, to the Ld. Trial Court.
13. The Bail Application are accordingly disposed of.

NEENA BANSAL KRISHNA, J

AUGUST 6, 2025/RS