



\$~22

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**
+ **C.R.P. 332/2023**

MOHD. SULEMAN ANSARI (SENIOR CITIZEN)Petitioner
Through: Mr. M. Hasibuddin, Advocate.

versus

MOHD. IKRAMRespondent
Through: Mr Achin Goel, Advocate.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE TARA VITASTA GANJU

ORDER

%

21.03.2025

1. After some arguments, learned Counsel for the Petitioner submits that the matter may be disposed of with directions to the learned Trial Court for an expeditious disposal.
2. It is contended by the learned Counsel for the Petitioner that the evidence of the Petitioner (Plaintiff before the learned Trial Court) has already been concluded.
3. Learned Counsel for the parties submit that neither party will take unnecessary adjournments before the learned Trial Court.
4. Learned Counsel for the Respondent, on instructions, submits that he will conclude evidence within the next three months.
5. The statement of the learned Counsel for the parties is taken on record. The parties are bound down by the statement made by their counsel.
6. The Petition is disposed of in the foregoing terms.
7. The parties shall act based on the digitally signed copy of the order.

TARA VITASTA GANJU, J

MARCH 21, 2025/ ha

Click here to check corrigendum, if any