



\$~82

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **W.P.(CRL) 2189/2025**

MOHD. IMRAN KHAN

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr. Irshad Ahmed, Adv. along with
petitioner in person.

versus

THE STATE OF NCT OF DELHI AND ANRRespondents

Through: Mr. Amol Sinha, ASC(Crl.), Mr.
Kshitiz Garg, Mr. Ashvini Kumar and
Mr. Nitish Dhawan, Advs. for the
State.

SI Shiv Dayal Kumar and HC Ravish
Kumar, PS Dayal Pur.

Mr. M.K. Mishra, Adv. For R-2.
Along with R-2 in person.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMIT SHARMA

ORDER

% **17.07.2025**

1. This hearing has been done through hybrid mode.
2. The present petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India read with Section 482 of the CrPC seeks quashing of FIR No. 0654/2024, under Section 420 of the IPC, registered as P.S. Dayal Pur.
3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the latter and respondent no.2 know each other for more than last 10 years and due to some misunderstanding regarding some financial transaction between them, present FIR was registered. It is further submitted that the parties have since compromised their disputes and hence, the present petition has been filed seeking the quashing of the present FIR along with chargesheet.



4. Copy of the MoU/Settlement Deed dated 13.06.2025 has been placed on record and annexed with the present petition as Annexure- P-2, wherein it has been recorded that the matter between the parties has been settled and they will cooperate with each other in quashing of the present FIR.
5. Petitioner and respondent no. 2, are present before the Court and have been duly identified by their respective counsels, as well as the Investigating Officer, SI Shiv Dayal Kumar, PS Dayal Pur.
6. The Complainant/respondent No.2 states that the matter has been settled with the petitioners and he has no objection if the FIR is quashed against the petitioner. He further states that all the terms of the settlement have been complied with and he has settled the matter with the petitioner out of his own free will and without any force, coercion or undue influence of any person.
7. Learned APP for the State submits that investigation in the present FIR is pending and chargesheet is yet to be filed.
8. In *Gian Singh v. State of Punjab*, (2012) 10 SCC 303, the Hon'ble Supreme Court has recognized the need of amicable resolution of disputes by observing as under:-

“61. ... In other words, the High Court must consider whether it would be unfair or contrary to the interest of justice to continue with the criminal proceedings or continuation of criminal proceedings would tantamount to abuse of process of law despite settlement and compromise between the victim and the wrongdoer and whether to secure the ends of justice, it is appropriate that criminal case is put to an end and if the answer to the above question(s) is in the affirmative, the High Court shall be well within its jurisdiction to quash the criminal proceeding.”
9. In view of the aforesaid circumstances, and the fact that the parties have put a quietus to the dispute, no useful purpose will be served in continuing



with the present FIR No. 0654/2024, under Section 420 of the IPC, registered as P.S. Dayal Pur.

10. In the interest of justice, the petition is allowed, and the FIR No. 0654/2024, under Section 420 of the IPC, registered as P.S. Dayal Pur, is hereby quashed.

11. The present petition is allowed and disposed of accordingly.

12. Pending application(s), if any, also stand disposed of.

AMIT SHARMA, J

JULY 17, 2025/kr