



\$~52

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ W.P.(C) 10135/2025 & CM APPL. 42177/2025

SH YOGESH SACHDEVA

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr. Anuj Kumar Gard, Adv. (through
VC)

versus

MUNICIPAL CORPORATION OF DELHI

.....Respondent

Through: Ms. Namrata Mukim, standing
counsel for MCD with Mr. Mukesh
Gaur, A.E. Central Zone, MCD.
Mob: 9899106515
Email: namratamukin@gmail.com

CORAM:

HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE MINI PUSHKARNA

ORDER

%

17.07.2025

1. The present writ petition has been filed for directions to the respondent–Municipal Corporation of Delhi (“MCD”) to adjudicate upon the application filed by the petitioner for temporary de-sealing dated 27th May, 2025, and application for de-sealing dated 19th May, 2025.
2. Learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submits that the property of the petitioner, i.e., *land measuring 3100 sq. yards*, part of *Khasra No. 210*, situated in *Revenue Estate of Village Tajpur, Tehsil Kalkaji, PO Badarpur, New Delhi-110044*, was sealed by the respondent on 16th May, 2025.
3. He submits that the petitioner, thereafter, immediately approached the respondent and its officials with a request to de-seal the premises in



question. He submits that the petitioner has already stopped the misuse of the property and undertakes not to carry out any activity, in contravention of the prevailing laws.

4. Learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submits that the petitioner does not challenge the action of the MCD and is ready to comply with all the requisite compliances and directions, as issued by the MCD.

5. He, thus, submits that the representations of the petitioner in this regard, be decided, expeditiously, and the premises of the petitioner be de-sealed.

6. Responding to the present petition, learned counsel appearing for the respondent – MCD submits that after the property in question was sealed on 16th May, 2025, the petitioner was found guilty of tampering the seal after three days. He further submits that MCD had found misuse of the property in question, on account of which, the same was sealed.

7. Considering the submissions made before this Court, it is to be noted that it is the clear submission on behalf of petitioner that the petitioner shall not carry out any such activity, which is not permissible under the prevailing laws.

8. This Court further notes the submission on behalf of the petitioner that the petitioner shall not carry out any activity, which amounts to misuse of the property in question, and shall comply with all the directions of the MCD in this regard.

9. Accordingly, it is directed that the application of the petitioner for temporary de-sealing/permanent de-sealing of the premises, be considered by the MCD.

10. Additionally, MCD is at liberty to give directions to the petitioner to



make various compliances, which are required for the purposes of de-sealing of the premises of the petitioner, including, submission of an undertaking by the petitioner that the premises in question, shall not be misused in future.

11. Further, the MCD is also at liberty to recover any costs, which are required to be paid by the petitioner, in regard thereto.

12. The representations of the petitioner with regard to temporary de-sealing/permanent de-sealing, shall be considered expeditiously by the respondent – MCD, preferably, within a period of four weeks, from today.

13. The respondent–MCD is also directed to give an opportunity of personal hearing to the petitioner, at the time of dealing with the representations of the petitioner.

14. With the aforesaid directions, the present writ petition, along with the pending application, is disposed of.

MINI PUSHKARNA, J

JULY 17, 2025/SK