



\$~78

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ CRL.M.C. 4696/2025

RAVINDRA YADAV & ANR.

.....Petitioners

Through: Mr. Sahil Vij and Mr. R.K. Gupta,
Advocates along with petitioner no. 1
in person.

Petitioner no. 2 through VC.

versus

THE STATE OF NCT OF DELHI & ANR.

.....Respondents

Through: Mr. Digam Singh Dagar, APP for the
State with W/SI Shiksha, P.S.
Bindapur.

Mr. Shubham Sagar and Mr.
Bhupender Singh, Advocates for R-2.
R-2 in person through Vc.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMIT SHARMA

ORDER

% **17.07.2025**

1. This hearing has been done through hybrid mode.
2. The present petition filed under Section 528 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 seeks quashing of FIR No. 14/2023, under Sections 498A/406/34 of the IPC, registered at P.S. Bindapur and all other consequential proceedings emanating therefrom, including the chargesheet pending before the Court of Ms. Sukriti Jha, Learned Judicial Magistrate First Class, Dwarka Courts, Delhi.
3. The marriage between petitioner no.1/husband and respondent no.2/wife was solemnized on 10.12.2018 as per Hindu rites and ceremonies.
4. No child was born out of the said wedlock.
5. Due to matrimonial differences between petitioner no. 1 and respondent no. 2, the parties started residing separately from November, 2020.



Subsequently, respondent no.2/complainant lodged an FIR against petitioner no.1 (husband) and petitioner no. 2 (sister-in-law).

6. On 13.02.2023, the parties arrived at a settlement and as per the said settlement agreement, petitioner no.1 has agreed to pay an amount of Rs. 6,50,000/- to the respondent no.2/wife towards full and final settlement of all her claims including *istridhan*, permanent alimony and maintenance- present, past and future.

7. In terms of the said settlement, the marriage between the parties stands dissolved by a decree of divorce dated 09.06.2025, passed by the learned Principal Judge, Family Courts, South-West, Dwarka, Delhi. Further, as per the settlement deed, an amount of Rs. 4,50,000/- has already been paid to respondent no.2 and the remaining amount of Rs. 2,00,000/- has been paid to her in court today, by means of a Demand Draft.

8. Petitioner no. 1 is present in Court today, petitioner no. 2 and complainant/respondent no. 2 are present before the Court and have been duly identified by their respective counsel, as well as the Investigating Officer, W/SI Shiksha, P.S. Bindapur.

9. A Demand Draft bearing No. 928955 dated 15.07.2025 for Rs. 2,00,000/- drawn on Canara Bank has been handed over to learned counsel for respondent no. 2, who appears through Video Conferencing and confirms the same.

10. The complainant/respondent No.2 states that the matter has been settled with the petitioners and she has no objection if the FIR is quashed. She further states that all the terms of the agreement have been complied with.

11. In view of the settlement between the parties, learned APP for the State also has no objection if the present FIR is quashed.



12. In **Gian Singh v. State of Punjab, (2012) 10 SCC 303**, the Hon'ble Supreme Court has recognized the need of amicable resolution of disputes by observing as under:-

“61. ... In other words, the High Court must consider whether it would be unfair or contrary to the interest of justice to continue with the criminal proceedings or continuation of criminal proceedings would tantamount to abuse of process of law despite settlement and compromise between the victim and the wrongdoer and whether to secure the ends of justice, it is appropriate that criminal case is put to an end and if the answer to the above question(s) is in the affirmative, the High Court shall be well within its jurisdiction to quash the criminal proceeding.”

13. In view of the aforesaid circumstances, and the fact that the parties have put a quietus to the dispute, no useful purpose will be served in continuing with the present FIR No. 14/2023, under Sections 498A/406/34 of the IPC, registered at P.S. Bindapur and all other consequential proceedings emanating therefrom, including the chargesheet pending before the Court of Ms. Sukriti Jha, Learned Judicial Magistrate First Class, Dwarka Courts, Delhi.

14. In the interest of justice, the petition is allowed, and the FIR No. 14/2023, under Sections 498A/406/34 of the IPC, registered at P.S. Bindapur and all other consequential proceedings emanating therefrom, including the chargesheet pending before the Court of Ms. Sukriti Jha, Learned Judicial Magistrate First Class, Dwarka Courts, Delhi, is hereby quashed.

15. Petition is allowed and disposed of accordingly.

16. Pending application(s), if any, also stand disposed of.

AMIT SHARMA, J

JULY 17, 2025/bsr/sc