



\$~29

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **CRL.M.C. 5758/2019**

PARAMJEET KUMAR SHARMA

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr. Akhand Pratap Singh, Ms. Samridhi Dobhal, Mr. Krishna Mohan Chandel, and Mr. Hritwik Maurya, Advocates

versus

STATE (NCT OF DELHI) & ANR.

.....Respondents

Through: Mr. Naresh Kumar Chahar, APP for the State with SI, P.S. Uttam Nagar. Respondent no. 2-in-person.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE SWARANA KANTA SHARMA

ORDER
15.01.2025

%

1. The instant petition under Section 482 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 (hereafter '*Cr.P.C.*'), has been filed on behalf of the petitioner, seeking quashing of FIR bearing No. 24/2013, registered at Police Station Uttam Nagar, Delhi for offences punishable under Section 420 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860 (hereafter '*IPC*').
2. Petitioner is present before this Court and has been identified by his counsel, Mr. Akhand Pratap Singh and Investigating Officer (IO), Police Station Uttam Nagar, Delhi.
3. Brief facts of the present case are that in the year 2012, the petitioner had promised to sell a flat to the respondent no. 2. On 16.01.2013, an FIR



was registered against the petitioner. On 14.05.2015, chargesheet was filed before the concerned court. On 22.02.2017, the petitioner had paid a sum of ₹ 5 lakhs and rest of the payment of ₹ 25 lakhs was to be paid as per the settlement arrived at between the parties. Pursuant to this, the petitioner was granted anticipatory bail by the learned Trial Court. On 27.08.2019, both the parties had settled their disputes *vide* Memorandum of Understanding (MoU) dated 27.08.2019.

4. On a query made by this Court, respondent no. 2 who has been identified by the IO, has categorically stated that he has entered into compromise out of his own free will and without any pressure, coercion or threat. It is also stated by respondent no.2 that the entire dispute has been amicably settled between them. Respondent no. 2 further stated that he has received the entire payment as per settlement and has no objection if the present FIR is quashed.

5. In view of the above fact that the parties have amicably resolved their differences of their own free will, and without any coercion, no useful purpose will be served by continuing the proceedings, rather the same would create further acrimony between them. It would thus be in interest of justice to quash the abovementioned FIR and the proceedings pursuant thereto. There is no legal impediment in quashing the FIR in question.

6. Accordingly, FIR bearing No. 24/2013, registered at Police Station Uttam Nagar, Delhi for offences punishable under Section 420 of the IPC and all consequential proceedings emanating therefrom are quashed.

7. The petition stands disposed of.



8. The order be uploaded on the website forthwith.

JANUARY 15, 2025/zp

SWARANA KANTA SHARMA, J

Click here to check corrigendum, if any