



\$~41

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **W.P.(CRL) 2813/2022 & CRL.M.A. 27525/2023**

PAWAN GUPTA & ANR.Petitioners

Through: Mr. Keshav Aggarwal,
Adv. (through VC)

versus

THE STATE OF DELHI
NCT & ANR.

.....Respondents

Through: Mr. Rahul Tyagi, ASC for
the State with Mr. Sangeet
Sibou, Mr. Abhishek
Tomer & Mr. Anikait
Kumar Singh, Adv.
SI Sunil Kumar, PS-
Ambedkar Nagar
Mr. Raghav Narayan,
Adv. for R2

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMIT MAHAJAN

ORDER

% **18.09.2025**

1. The learned proxy counsel for the petitioner requests for an adjournment on the ground that the main counsel is not available.
2. The learned Standing Counsel for the State submits that the present petition has become infructuous since the investigation is complete and the final report has also been filed before the learned Trial Court and both the petitioners have been kept in Column No. 12.
3. Considering that the Police after investigation, has not found any evidence against the petitioners and has filed a final report which is pending consideration, this Court does not



consider it apposite to keep the present petition alive since no proceedings or investigation is pending against the petitioners at this stage.

4. Undisputedly, the complainant has a right to file a protest petition. In such circumstances, the petitioner is at liberty to file a petition afresh in case any grievance remains in future.

5. The petition is disposed of with the aforesaid observations.

AMIT MAHAJAN, J

SEPTEMBER 18, 2025

“SS”