



\$~56

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **W.P.(C) 14363/2023 & CM APPL. 56843/2023**

DEEPAK KUMAR

.....Petitioner

Through: Ms. Ranjana Singh & Mr. Ritik
Verma, Advs.

versus

STATE BANK OF INDIA AND ORS

.....Respondents

Through: Mr. Rajiv Kapur, SC with Mr. Akshit
Kapur & Ms. Riya Sood, Advs.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AVNEESH JHINGAN

ORDER

28.11.2025

%

1. This petition is filed raising the grievance of transfer of the petitioner to Pithoragarh, Uttarakhand.
2. There is also a prayer to set aside the order dated 10.10.2023 passed by respondent no.1/bank marking the absence of the petitioner from 01.10.2023 to 25.06.2026.
3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that during the pendency of the petition, the petitioner has joined the new place of posting and the prayer against the transfer order no longer survives. However, it is requested that the absence of the petitioner be treated as sanctioned leave. The grievance raised is that despite giving representation, the same has not been considered.
4. The writ petition is disposed of directing the respondents to consider the representation of the petitioner in accordance with law by passing a speaking order.



5. Expeditious disposal shall be appreciated.
6. The petitioner shall be at liberty to avail remedies in accordance with law if aggrieved of the decision.

AVNEESH JHINGAN, J

NOVEMBER 28, 2025
Ch