



2025:DHC:10021



\$~05

***IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

%

Date of decision: 14.11.2025

+ CRL.M.C. 4593/2025

DEEPA CHAWLA AND OTHERS

.....Petitioners

Through: Mr. Anuj Garg, Mr. Sunil
Tewari, Ms. Parul Verma &
Ms. Jayaditya Gupta,
Advocates along with all the
petitioners in person

versus

STATE (GOVT OF NCT OF DELHI) AND OTHERS

.....Respondents

Through: Ms. Manjeet Arua, APP with SI
Surender Singh, PS Naraina
Complainants/Respondents
Neelima Sethi & Jagparvesh
Sethi in person.
Respondent Harsh Sethi is
present through VC

CORAM:-**HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAVINDER DUDEJA****JUDGMENT(ORAL)****RAVINDER DUDEJA, J.**

1. The present petition has been filed under section 528 BNSS seeking the quashing of the FIR no. 0076/2025 registered on 10.02.2025 under sections 420/457/468/471/34 IPC at PS Naraina and all the proceedings emanating therefrom.



2025:DHC:10021



2. As per averments made in the FIR, petitioners created forged and fabricated documents for property bearing No. G-183, Naraina Vihar, after the death of the actual owner. Petitioner no, 1, initially a tenant under a registered rent agreement, stopped paying rent from April 2023 and continues to occupy the property illegally which belonged to respondents. FIR was lodged at the instance of respondent no. 2 under Sections 420/467/468/471/34 IPC against the petitioners.

3. During the pendency of the proceedings both the parties entered into Memorandum of Understanding dated 03.07.2025 and settled their disputes. It is submitted that the parties have amicably settled their property dispute concerning the “third floor of G-183, Naraina Vihar”, with the petitioners by vacating the premises and have handed over possession upon receiving ₹6,00,000/- (Rupees Six Lacs Only) from the Respondents as per the schedule in the settlement. A copy of the Memorandum of Understanding dated 03.07.2025 has been placed on record which is annexed as Annexure P2 (Colly).

4. Petitioners and Respondents No. 2 & 3 are physically present before the Court while Respondent No. 4 has entered appearance through VC. They have been identified by their respective counsels as well as by the Investigating Officer SI Surender Singh, from PS Naraina.

5. Respondents confirm that the matter has been amicably settled with the petitioners without any force, fear, coercion and they have



2025:DHC:10021



received the possession of the subject property and have no objection if the FIR no. 0076/2025 is quashed against the Petitioners. Petitioners also confirm that they have received the entire settlement amount.

6. In view of the settlement between the parties, learned Additional PP appearing for the State, also has no objection if the present FIR no. 0076/2025 registered on 10.02.2025 under sections 420/457/468/471/34 IPC at PS Naraina is quashed.

7. In ***Gian Singh vs State of Punjab (2012) 10 SCC 303***, Hon'ble Supreme Court has recognized the need of amicable resolution of disputes by observing as under:-

"61. In other words, the High Court must consider whether it would be unfair or contrary to the interest of justice to continue with the criminal proceedings or continuation of criminal proceedings would tantamount to abuse of process of law despite settlement and compromise between the victim and the wrongdoer and whether to secure the ends of justice, it is appropriate that criminal case is put to an end and if the answer to the above question(s) is in the affirmative, the High Court shall be well within its jurisdiction to quash the criminal proceedings."

8. Further, it is settled that the inherent powers under section 482 of the Code are required to be exercised to secure the ends of justice or to prevent abuse of the process of any court. Further, the High Court can quash non-compoundable offences after considering the nature of the offence and the amicable settlement between the concerned parties. Reliance may be placed upon ***B.S. Joshi v. State of Haryana, (2003) 4 SCC 675***.



2025:DHC:10021



9. In view of the above facts that the parties have amicably resolved their differences out of their own free will and without any coercion. Hence, it would be in the interest of justice, to quash the abovementioned FIR and the proceedings pursuant thereto.

10. In view of the aforesaid circumstances and the fact that parties have put a quietus to the dispute, no useful purpose will be served in continuing with the present FIR no. 0076/2025 registered on 10.02.2025 under sections 420/457/468/471/34 IPC at PS Naraina and all the other consequential proceeding emanating therefrom.

11. In the interest of justice, the petition is allowed, and the FIR no. 0076/2025 registered on 10.02.2025 under sections 420/ 457/ 468/ 471/34 IPC at PS Naraina and all the other consequential proceeding emanating therefrom is hereby quashed.

12. Petition is allowed and disposed of accordingly.

13. Pending application(s), if any, also stand disposed of.

न्यायमेव जयते

RAVINDER DUDEJA, J

November 14, 2025
SK