$~52 to 57

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

\+ **W.P.(C) 1850/2016**

GUANGZHOU USHA INTERNATIONAL LTD. .....Petitioner

Through: Mr. Vaibhav Kulkarni, Adv. (9911013919)

versus

COMMISSIONER OF INCOME TAX .....Respondent

Through: Appearance not received.

~53

\+ **W.P.(C) 11183/2016**

GUANGZHOU USHA INTERNATIONAL LTD. .....Petitioner

Through: Mr. Vaibhav Kulkarni, Adv. (9911013919)

versus

COMMISSIONER OF INCOME-TAX (INTERNATIONAL TAXATION)-I .....Respondent

Through: Appearance not received

~54

\+ **W.P.(C) 11820/2019**

GUANGZHOU USHA INTERNATIONAL LTD .....Petitioner

Through: Mr. Vaibhav Kulkarni, Adv. (9911013919)

versus

COMMISSIONER OF INCOME-TAX (INTERNATIONAL TAXATION) .....Respondent

Through: Appearance not received

~55

\+ **W.P.(C) 15909/2022**

GUANGZHOU USHA INTERNATIONAL LTD .....Petitioner

Through: Mr. Vaibhav Kulkarni, Adv. (9911013919) versus

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER OF INCOME TAX CIRCLE INTERNATIONAL TAXATION 1(3)(1) .....Respondent

Through: Appearance not received

~56

+ **W.P.(C) 2966/2022**

GUANGZHOU USHA INTERNATIONAL LTD .....Petitioner

Through: Mr. Vaibhav Kulkarni, Adv. (9911013919)

versus

ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER OF INCOME TAX CIRCLE INTERNATIONAL TAXATION 1 (3) (1) .....Respondent

Through: Appearance not received

~57

+ **W.P.(C) 12149/2023 & CM APPL. 47754/2023**

GUANGZHOU USHA INTERNATIONAL LTD .....Petitioner

Through: Mr. Vaibhav Kulkarni, Adv. (9911013919)

versus

ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER OF INCOME TAX CIRCLE INT TAX 1(3)(1) NEW DELHI .....Respondent

Through: Appearance not received.

CORAM:
JUSTICE PRATHIBA M. SINGH
JUSTICE RAJNEESH KUMAR GUPTA

O R D E R

% 09.07.2025

1. This hearing has been done through hybrid mode.

2. Ld. Counsel for the Petitioner submits that the Petitioner had filed an

application under the *'Direct TaxVivad Se Vishwas Scheme, 2024'* (hereinafter, *'DTVSV Scheme'*) to settle its disputes with the Revenue Department.

3. In the said application, Form-02 has been issued, determining the amount payable. A copy of the Form-02 has been handed across to the Court. Let the same be taken on record.

4. The Petitioner submits that they wish to withdraw the present petitions and thereafter, make payments in terms of the amount determined in Form-02.

5. Thus, the petitions are dismissed as withdrawn.

6. If for any reason, the application under the DTVSV Scheme does not attain finality after payments, the Petitioner shall be free to seek revival of these petitions.

PRATHIBA M. SINGH, J

RAJNEESH KUMAR GUPTA, J

JULY 9, 2025
kk/ss