



\$~56

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **W.P.(C) 9907/2025**

RAMESH KUMAR JHA

.....Petitioner

Through: **Ms. Rakhi Sharma, Advocate.**

versus

**PRINCIPAL DISTRICT AND
SESSION JUDGE (HQ)**

.....Respondent

Through: **Mrs. Avnish Ahlawat, Standing
Counsel with Ms. Aliza Alam, Mr.
Mohnish Sehrawat & Mr. Manish
Gusai, Advocates.**

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE PRATEEK JALAN

ORDER

%

15.07.2025

CM APPL. 41308/2025 (Exemption)

Exemption allowed, subject to all just exceptions.

The application stands disposed of.

W.P.(C) 9907/2025

1. The petitioner, who is serving as a Judicial Assistant in the establishment of the Principal District and Sessions Judge (HQ), Tis Hazari, has filed this writ petition seeking grant of Non-Functional Scale ["NFS"] of ₹15,600-₹39,100 (PB-III), with pre-revised grade pay of ₹5,400, with effect from 22.08.2022.

2. The petitioner has annexed with the writ petition, his representations dated 25.09.2023, 01.02.2025, and 22.03.2025 for this purpose, wherein he has stated that several officials junior to him have



been granted NFS. The respondent has replied to his representations on 08.04.2025, stating that the concerned committee, in its meeting on 12.12.2024, deferred the petitioner's case "*in view of adverse Vigilance Report*". However, it was stated that considering the petitioner's fresh representation, his matter would be placed before the committee again.

3. The contention of Ms. Rakhi Sharma, learned counsel for the petitioner, is that the petitioner has not been issued a chargesheet in any disciplinary proceedings, is presently not under suspension, and no prosecution is pending against him. In these circumstances, Ms. Sharma submits that there is no basis for denial of NFS to the petitioner.

4. Having regard to the fact that the respondent itself has proposed that the matter will be reconsidered by the NFS Committee, I do not consider it necessary to entertain the writ petition at this stage.

5. However, the respondent is directed to place the matter before the committee within four weeks, and if the decision is adverse, to communicate the same with reasons to the petitioner and his learned counsel within four weeks thereafter.

6. The rights and remedies of the parties remain reserved.

7. The writ petition is disposed of with these directions.

PRATEEK JALAN, J

JULY 15, 2025

'pv/KA'/'