



\$~34

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ CRL.M.C. 4561/2025

JOGINDER KADIYAN & ANR.

.....Petitioners

Through: Ms. Razia, Adv.
Petitioners in person.
versus

STATE OF DELHI (N.C.T OF DELHI) & ANR.Respondents

Through: Mr. Satish Kumar, APP for State with
Ms. Upasna Bakshi, Adv.
SI Priyanka, PS Alipur
Mr. Kuljeet Singh, Adv. for Respondent no.2.
Respondent no.2 in person.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AJAY DIGPAUL

ORDER

%

26.11.2025

[Owing to a holiday being declared on 25.11.2025, this matter is being taken up today.]

1. The instant petition under Section 528 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 (hereinafter "BNSS") [earlier Section 482 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 (hereinafter "CrPC")] has been filed by the petitioners praying for quashing of FIR No.182/2018 registered at Police Station – Alipur for the offences punishable under Sections 354/354A/341/509/34 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860 (hereinafter "IPC").
2. The brief facts of the case are that on 18.04.2018 at around 8 PM, when respondent no.2 was returning from office with her son, the petitioner



no.1 stopped the bike of respondent no. 2. Thereafter, he grabbed her hand forcefully and threatened to kill her. Hence the present FIR.

3. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioners submitted that chargesheet has already been filed against the petitioners. It is submitted that the petitioners and respondent no. 2 have settled their disputes amicably and are now moving forward towards a peaceful and harmonious future.

4. Memorandum of Understanding (hereinafter “MoU”) dated 28.04.2025 is on record and has been annexed as Annexure P2. *Qua* this MoU, the respondent no. 2 has agreed to withdraw the case arising out of FIR No.182/2018 registered at Police Station – Alipur against the petitioner.

5. It is prayed by the learned counsel appearing on behalf of the parties that the instant FIR may be quashed on the basis of the MoU.

6. Learned APP for the State, who appears on advance notice, accepts notice and submits that there is no opposition to the prayer made by the petitioners seeking quashing of the FIR in question in view of the settlement arrived at between the parties.

7. Heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the record.

8. The petitioners are present before this Court and have been identified by their counsel and Investigating Officer, Police Station – Alipur. Respondent no. 2 is also present in the Court and has been identified by the counsel and the Investigating Officer.

9. On a query made by this Court, respondent no. 2 has categorically stated that she has entered into compromise on her own free will and without any pressure, and that the parties are neighbours. It is also stated by her that the entire dispute has been amicably settled between the parties. She also submits that she is looking ahead and taking steps to rebuild and move on



with her life. Further, she submits that she has no objection to the present FIR being quashed.

10. Keeping in view the fact that the matter stands settled between the petitioners and respondent no. 2 amicably without any pressure, no fruitful purpose would be served by keeping the matter pending.

11. In view of the fact that the parties have arrived at a settlement, no useful purpose will be served in continuing the proceedings, rather the same would create further acrimony between them.

12. It is, thus, in the interest of justice to quash the afore-mentioned FIR and the proceedings emanating therefrom.

13. Hence, in light of the judgment of the Hon'ble Supreme Court passed in ***Gian Singh vs. State of Punjab* (2012) 10 SCC 303**, FIR No.182/2018 registered at Police Station – Alipur for the offences punishable under Sections 354/354A/341/509/34 of the IPC, and consequent proceedings emanating therefrom, are quashed *qua* the present petitioners.

14. The petition along with pending application(s), if any, stands disposed of.

AJAY DIGPAUL, J

NOVEMBER 26, 2025/AS/av