



\$~70

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **BAIL APPLN. 2545/2025**

INZMAM @ INJMAM @ INZMAMUL HAQUEPetitioner

Through: Mr. Bibek Tripathi, Mr. Sudhakar
Tiwari, Mr. Ajay Kumar Shrivastav,
Mr. Manoj Kumar, Advs.

versus

THE STATE NCT OF DELHIRespondent

Through: Mr. Manoj Pant, APP for the State.

CORAM:

HON'BLE DR. JUSTICE SWARANA KANTA SHARMA

ORDER

04.11.2025

%

1. By way of the present application, the applicant seeks grant of regular bail in FIR bearing no. 226/2024, registered at Police Station Jamia Nagar, Delhi for the commission of offences punishable under Sections 307/354D/419/109/120-B of the Indian Penal Code, 1860 (hereafter '*IPC*').
2. Briefly stated, the prosecution's case is that the co-accused Munawwar Alam had stalked the complainant over a period of time, deceitfully developed friendship with her and had also impersonated as an Air Force Officer. When the complainant had discovered the fraud committed by the co-accused, he had attempted to malign the complainant in public and had also threatened her. The allegations against the present accused/applicant are that he was hired by the co-accused Munawwar Alam for which he was paid Rs.10,000/-. As alleged, he had stabbed the



complainant with the knife two times on her abdomen, causing serious injuries to her liver and bladder.

3. The learned counsel appearing on behalf of the applicant argues that the applicant has been falsely implicated in the present case. It is argued that the Test Identification Parade (TIP), on the basis of which the applicant is being implicated as the accused who had stabbed the complainant, needs to be rejected since the TIP was not conducted as per law. It is stated that the applicant has been in judicial custody since 16.06.2024. Therefore, it is prayed that the applicant be released on regular bail.

4. The learned APP for the State, on the other hand, argues that the allegations against the present accused/applicant are serious in nature. Therefore, it is prayed that the present application for grant of regular bail be rejected.

5. This Court has heard arguments addressed on behalf of the learned counsel for the applicant as well as the learned APP for the State, and has perused the material available on record.

6. This Court notes that the PW-1, who is the complainant, has identified the present accused to be the person who had stabbed her twice on her abdomen with a knife. The victim in this case has sustained two grave injuries and damage to the liver, spleen and bladder, for which she had to undergo critical medical surgery.

7. The victim is present in the Court and apprises the Court that she was to appear for the Rajasthan Judicial Examination, which was to be held on 23.06.2024, and since she was in ICU during that period, she lost the chance to appear for the examination which was crucial for her career.

8. Having taken note of the injuries suffered by the victim, her testimony



recorded before the learned Trial Court and the fact that she has identified the present applicant who had stabbed her, and caused her grievous injuries, this Court finds no ground for grant of regular bail to the applicant, at this stage.

9. Accordingly, the present bail application stands dismissed.

10. It is, however, clarified that nothing expressed hereinabove shall tantamount to an expression of opinion on merits of the case.

11. The order be uploaded on the website forthwith.

DR. SWARANA KANTA SHARMA, J

NOVEMBER 4, 2025/zp