



\$~72, 74, 75

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ CONT.CAS(C) 1127/2024

SANTOSH RANI (SINCE DECEASED) THROUGH LR LATESH
SHARMAPetitioner

Through: Ms. Ujala Vishnoi and Mr. Randeep
Singh, Advocates.

versus

SUBHASHISH PANDA

.....Respondent

Through: None.

+ W.P.(C) 13054/2019

SMT. SANTOSH RANIPetitioner

Through: Ms. Ujala Vishnoi and Mr. Randeep
Singh, Advocates.

versus

DELHI DEVELOPMENT AUTHORITY AND ORS.Respondents

Through: None.

+ W.P.(C) 13186/2019

SH. RAJENDER KUMARPetitioner

Through: Ms. Ujala Vishnoi and Mr. Randeep
Singh, Advocates.

versus

DELHI DEVELOPMENT AUTHORITY AND ORS.Respondent

Through: None.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MANOJ JAIN

ORDER

% **13.02.2025**

1. Learned counsel for petitioner has, at the very outset, submitted that since the possession has been provided to the petitioner, the petitioners are neither interested in pursuing with the writ petition nor with the contempt petition.
2. In view of the above statement made by the learned counsel of the petitioner, both the petitions as well as contempt petition stands disposed of as not pressed.

MANOJ JAIN, J

FEBRUARY 13, 2025/sw