



\$~61

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**
+ **W.P.(C) 9622/2025 & CM APPL. 40388/2025**

MAYA

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr. Ram Narayan Singh, Advocate.

versus

GOVT OF NCT OF DELHI & ORS.

.....Respondents

Through: Ms. Urvi Mohan and Mr. Naman Jain,
Advocates for GNCTD.

Mr. Sriharsha Peechara, Standing
Counsel for NDMC with Mr. Akshat
Kulshreshtha and Mr. Akhilesh Loya,
Advocates.

CORAM:

JUSTICE PRATHIBA M. SINGH

JUSTICE RAJNEESH KUMAR GUPTA

ORDER

%

18.07.2025

1. This hearing has been done through hybrid mode.
2. The present petition has been filed by the Petitioner – Smt. Maya under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, *inter alia*, seeking issuance of an appropriate writ directing the Respondents to permit the Petitioner to participate in the survey conducted by Town Vending Committee (hereinafter, ‘TVC’) of the New Delhi Municipal Council (hereinafter, ‘NDMC’) and issue her a Certificate of Vending (hereinafter ‘COV’).
3. The Petitioner – Smt. Maya W/o Sh. Mukesh Bavaria has filed the present petition praying that she may be permitted to participate in the survey. The case of the Petitioner is that she is selling artificial jewellery near India Gate compound, New Delhi in the NDMC area.
4. The Petitioner has not placed on record any photographs of any vending



site, vending cart or any such document. The Petitioner has placed on record a challan issued by the NDMC. However, the said challan itself appears to be not a genuine challan. Even the Aadhar Card of the Petitioner shows that she is described as the wife of one Mr. Mukesh Bavaria - however, the NDMC challan describes her as the wife of one Mr. Teg Ram.

5. As per the records filed with the petition, the correct identity of the Petitioner is not decipherable. There are no other documents to show that the Petitioner has any valid right to vend in the said area.

6. Moreover, the sale of artificial jewellery near India Gate compound is also not a believable proposition as it is a non-vending zone.

7. Accordingly, the petition is dismissed. Pending application(s), if any, also stand disposed of.

8. However, it is directed that the representation of the Petitioner may be dealt by the NDMC in accordance with law, after seeking further documents, if required.

PRATHIBA M. SINGH, J.

RAJNEESH KUMAR GUPTA, J.

JULY 18, 2025/nd/ck