



\$~19

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**
+ **CRL.M.C. 5957/2022**
MEHUL BAJPAI

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr. Atul Agarwal and Mr.
Rakesh Kumar Khare, Advs.

versus

SPICEJET LIMITED

.....Respondent

Through: Mr. Archit Arora, Adv.

CORAM:
JOINT REGISTRAR (JUDICIAL) BHAWANI SHARMA

ORDER

30.04.2026

%

File is placed before this Court vide order dated 18.03.2026 for completion of service.

As per office note, service report is awaited.

However, learned counsel for respondent enters appearance and accepts notice. He submits that he has been representing the respondent earlier also before it was dismissed for non-appearance/non-prosecution. He further submits that he has instructions to represent the respondent. As per record, vakalatnama is not on record. Learned counsel is directed to check up with the registry and have the same placed on record before the next date of hearing.

Learned counsel for respondent submits that he does not wish to file reply to the restoration application and shall argue the matter before the Hon'ble Court.

Since service is complete, matter be placed before the Hon'ble Court on 26.05.2026.

BHAWANI SHARMA
JOINT REGISTRAR
(JUDICIAL)

APRIL 30, 2026/yo