



\$~77

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **CRL.M.C. 4468/2025**

VIKRAM SINGH SUYAL AND ORS.

.....Petitioners

Through: Mr. Mukesh Kumar, Advocate along
with petitioners-in-person.

versus

THE STATE (GOVT. OF NCT DELHI) AND ANRRespondents

Through: Mr. Naresh Kumar Chahar, APP for
the State with Mr. Chandrakant,
Advocate.
Respondent no. 2-in-person.

CORAM:

HON'BLE DR. JUSTICE SWARANA KANTA SHARMA

ORDER

10.07.2025

%

1. By way of the present petition, the petitioners are seeking quashing of FIR bearing no.612/2021, registered at Police Station Bindapur, Delhi for the offences punishable under Sections 498A/406/34 of Indian Penal Code, 1860 (hereafter 'IPC').
2. Issue notice. The learned APP accepts notice on behalf of the State.
3. The petitioners and respondent no. 2 are present before this Court in person. They have been identified by their counsels and concerned Investigating Officer (IO) from Police Station Bindapur, Delhi.
4. Briefly stated, facts of the present case are that the marriage between



the petitioner no. 1 and respondent no. 2 was solemnized on 06.01.2009 as per the Hindu rites and customs and were living with each other. One child was born from their wedlock on 11.12.2011. After some time due, to different lifestyles and temperamental differences, both the parties could not reside with each other and started living separately from each other since July, 2021. Thereafter, due to temperamental differences between petitioner no. 1 and respondent no. 2, respondent no. 2 have got registered the FIR against the petitioners under the relevant sections.

5. It is stated that both the parties have amicably settled the present matter *vide* Settlement Deed dated 03.12.2024, entered between them.

6. On a query made by this Court, respondent no.2 who has been identified by the concerned IO, has categorically stated that she has entered into compromise out of her own free will and without any pressure, coercion or threat. It is also stated by respondent no. 2 that the entire dispute has been amicably settled between the parties. Respondent no. 2 further states that she has received the last and final amount of ₹1,50,000/- *vide* Demand Draft No. 111362 drawn on State Bank of India and has no objection if the present FIR is quashed.

7. In view of the above fact that the parties have amicably resolved their differences of their own free will, without any coercion and no useful purpose will be served by continuing the proceedings, rather the same would create further acrimony between them. It would thus be in interest of justice to quash the abovementioned FIR and the proceedings pursuant thereto. There is no legal impediment in quashing the FIR in question.

8. Accordingly, FIR bearing No. 612/2021, registered at Police Station Bindapur, Delhi for the offences punishable under Sections 498A/406/34 of



IPC and all consequential proceedings emanating therefrom are quashed, subject to the rights of the minor children will not get affected as per the judgment titled as ***Ganesh vs. Sudhirkumar Shrivastava, (2020) 20 SCC 787*** passed by the Hon'ble Supreme Court.

9. In view of the above, the present petition stands disposed of.
10. The order be uploaded on the website forthwith.

DR. SWARANA KANTA SHARMA, J

JULY 10, 2025/zp