



2025:DHC:5441-DB



\$~54 to 58 & 77

- * **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**
- + CONT.CAS(C) 982/2025
- SURESH CHAND AND ORSPetitioners
Through: Mr. Ankur Chhibber, Adv.
- versus
- SHRI GOVIND MOHAN AND ORSRespondents
Through: Mr. Sushil Raaja SPC for UOI
- + CONT.CAS(C) 984/2025
- AMIT KUMAR AND ORSPetitioners
Through: Mr. Ankur Chhibber, Adv.
- versus
- SHRI GOVIND MOHAN AND ORSRespondents
Through: AC Mr. Ankit Pandey, Insp Mr. Sanjay & SI Mr. Amit
- + CONT.CAS(C) 985/2025
- VISHAL DIVAKARPetitioner
Through: Mr. Ankur Chhibber, Adv.
- versus
- SHRI GOVIND MOHAN AND ORSRespondents
Through: Ms. Avshreya Pratap Singh Rudy, SPC with Ms. Usha Jamnal and Ms. Harshita Chaturvedi, Advs. for Delhi Police
AC Mr. Ankit Pandey, Insp Mr. Sanjay & SI Mr. Amit
- + W.P.(C) 16840/2022 & CM APPL. 39862/2025
- RAJESH KUMAR AND ORSPetitioner
Through: Mr. Ankur Chhibber, Adv.



2025:DHC:5441-DB



versus

UNION OF INDIA AND ORSRespondents
Through: Mr. Sushil Raaja, SPC for UOI
Ms. Avshreya Pratap Singh Rudy, SPC with
Ms. Usha Jamnal and Ms. Harshita
Chaturvedi, Advs. for Delhi Police

+ W.P.(C) 17687/2022 & CM APPL. 39863/2025

DHARAMVIR AND ORSPetitioners
Through: Mr. Ankur Chhibber, Adv.

versus

UNION OF INDIA AND ORSRespondents
Through: Ms. Sarika Singh SPC with Mr.
Rahul Singh, Advs. for R-1 to 3
Ms. Avshreya Pratap Singh Rudy, SPC with
Ms. Usha Jamnal and Ms. Harshita
Chaturvedi, Advs. for Delhi Police

+ W.P.(C) 16853/2022 & CM APPL. 39876/2025

RAJENDRA KUMAR AND ORSPetitioners
Through: Mr. Ankur Chhibber, Adv.

versus

UNION OF INDIA AND ORSRespondents
Through:

CORAM:
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE C. HARI SHANKAR
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AJAY DIGPAUL

ORDER (ORAL)

% **09.07.2025**

C. HARI SHANKAR, J.

CONT.CAS(C) 982/2025, CONT.CAS(C) 984/2025 and



2025:DHC:5441-DB



CONT.CAS(C) 985/2025 and CM APPL. 39862/2025 in WP(C) 16840/2022, CM APPL. 39863/2025 in WP(C) 17687/2022 and CM APPL. 39876/2025 in WP(C) 16853/2022

1. After some hearing, Mr. Ankur Chhibber, learned Counsel for the petitioners, on instructions, withdraws these contempt petitions as well as stay applications CM Appl. 39862/2025, CM Appl. 39863/2025 and CM Appl. 39876/2025 in WP(C) 16840/2022, WP(C) 17687/2022 and WP(C) 16853/2022 respectively. However, he prays that the petitioners may be granted some time to rejoin their parent cadres.

2. On hearing Mr. Chhibber and Ms. Rudy, learned Counsel for the respondents, as a matter of indulgence, the petitioners are granted two weeks time to report to the repatriated parent cadres and three weeks time to vacate the premises in their occupation, if any.

3. These contempt petitions as also CM Appl. 39862/2025, CM Appl. 39863/2025 and CM Appl. 39876/2025 in WP(C) 16840/2022, WP(C) 17687/2022 and WP(C) 16853/2022 are dismissed as withdrawn in the above terms.

C. HARI SHANKAR, J.

AJAY DIGPAUL, J.

JULY 9, 2025/dsn